PRE SERVICE SIGN IN ROSTER

CLASS: SOP Review

(b)(3): 10 USC §130b, (b)(6), (b)(7), (C)
PRE SERVICE SIGN IN ROSTER

CLASS: * Perform Security and Control Activities (Int. Fac) *

INSTRUCTOR: * *

DATE: 16 Mar 07

10 USC §130b (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
PRE SERVICE SIGN IN ROSTER

CLASS: * Account for Detainees *

INSTRUCTOR: * *

DATE: 21 MAY 07

(b)(3): 10 USC §130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
CAMP V BLOCK GUARD/CADRE CERTIFICATION

NAME / PLATOON: [Redacted]

Certification / Left Seat, Right Seat Dates:

Day Shift: [Redacted] [Redacted]
Mid Shift: [Redacted] [Redacted]

TASKS: Guard/Cadre Certification

CONDITIONS: The Guards/Cadre are ordered to execute the day-to-day Guard Force Operations, execute all camp activities IAW JDG Camp Delta SOP and respond to all emergency situations IAW published guidance.

STANDARDS: The Guards/Cadre executes the daily operations IAW established policies and procedures.

1. Assume Assigned Post

   a. Ensure keys are accounted for.

   b. Ensure all property/equipment is accounted for.

   c. Ensure pass-on book is read and understood. (to include Posting Orders)

   d. Ensure any assisting personnel is briefed.

   e. Conduct a Joint Headcount with Offgoing Cadre

2. Camp [Redacted]

   a. Detainee Movement Inside Camp [Redacted]

   b. Detainee Movement Outside Camp

   c. Restraint Procedures
d. Escort Procedures

d. Chow Procedures

e. Manning Requirements per Post

f. Sally Port Procedures

g. Recreation Procedures

h. Shower/Shave Procedures

i. May Keep in Cell Medication

3. Duress Codes

(b)(2)

4. Force Cell Extraction (FCE) Team ROE

a. Check and put on gear

b. Follow instructions of team leader

c. Complete Paperwork for Initial Camera Brief

d. Complete Paperwork Upon Completion of Move
4. O/C Pepper Spray ROE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Initials</th>
<th>Supervisor's Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Obtain Authority from Guard Commander</td>
<td></td>
<td>(b)(3):10 USC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Emergency Situation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Personnel Authorized to Carry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Cell Inspections / Shakedowns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Initials</th>
<th>Supervisor's Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Annotate on Cell Inspection Log</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Use a Systematic / Methodical Approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Confiscate all Contraband and Complete Necessary Paperwork</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Paperwork

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Initials</th>
<th>Supervisor's Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Daily Consolidate Block Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Meal Refusal Tracking Sheet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Shower / Recreation Tracking Sheets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Cell Assignment Roster</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Detainee Meal Request for Galley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Fasting Sheets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Monthly Weight Sheet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. 508 on DIMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. SIGACTS on DIMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
j. DA Form 2823
k. DA Form 4137
l. Prepare 508's
m. Who gets what paperwork and when

7. Daily Operations

a. DIMS
b. Touchscreen Computer System
c. Fire Sprinkler Turn On/Off
d. Cell Water Turn On/Off
e. Shower Water Turn On/Off
f. Distinguished Visitor / Media Tour
g. ICE Reservation Procedures
   Procedures
h. OARDEC Reservation Procedures
i. Habeas / Commission Lawyer Visit
   Procedures
j. Supply Room
k. Clothing / Linen Issue and Turn-In
l. Meal Procedures (Detainee and Guard)
   Procedures
m. Conduct Manual Cell Opening Operations
n. Observe detainees in close confinement
o. Inspect physical security of cell block
p. Interact with detainees
q. Detect symptoms of unusual behavior
r. Frisk search a detainee
s. Account for detainee
t. Inspect physical security of camp
u. Identify evidence/contraband
v. Communicate effectively

Platoon Sergeant Pre-Service Briefing:

Camp V NCOIC Pre-Service Briefing:

Camp V OIC Pre-Service Briefing:

I have understood and completed the Cadre certification program and I understand my responsibilities and duties as a Guard/Cadre. I will follow instructions, directives, special orders, standards, and regulations when carrying out my duties.
STATEMENT

Place: NCISRA GUANTANAMO BAY CUBA
Date: June 14, 2006

I, [REDACTED], make the following free and voluntary statement to [REDACTED] whom I know to be a Representative of the United States Naval Criminal Investigative Service. I make this statement of my own free will and without any threats made to me or promises extended. I fully understand that this statement is given concerning my knowledge of the death of three detainees in Alfa Block.

My assignment at JTF-GTMO is a Platoon Leader for the Camp 1 Detention Facility. The Camp 1 Detention Facility includes Blocks [REDACTED]. My duties include overall management of Camp 1 personnel and detainees. Under me is a Sergeant of the Guard whose responsibility is managing the daily detainee operations for the three cell blocks. Each block contains a Block NCO and four block guards. My Platoon assumed duty at 09JUN06 for a 12 hour shift.

My platoon mustered at approximately [REDACTED] for turnover with the off-going platoon. The turnover was normal. I recall the passdown included tracking the hunger strikers and the fact the showers/recreation area continued to be secured because of thunderstorms in the area. [REDACTED], a qualified Sergeant of the Guard, was on watch after having just returned from leave. [REDACTED] was on the watch bill as the Sergeant of the Guard and wore the belt that night.

I use the office in [REDACTED] Block for Admin Purposes. My normal work location is in the causeway where I mate between blocks ensuring operations run smoothly. The Sergeants of the Guard also operate out of the Causeway and they are the ones who interact frequently with the Block NCO's. The Sergeant of the Guard's report to me. Most of the detainee issues are handled by the block NCO's but on occasion, the Sergeant's of the Guard get involved and if they can't resolve a detainee's issue, then I get involved. As a normal rule, I do not get involved in daily detainee issues.

I recall the evening of 9June as being pretty normal. The evening meal was served at approximately 7:30. The meal from the time they are given their food to the time the waste is collected is about 2 hours. The guards deliver the food to the detainees and are responsible for ensuring everything they received is collected, ie the serving dish, clamshell and the fork. The detainees are allowed to keep two sealed items (milk or juice) in their cells but nothing more. These items have to be placed in locations where the guards can see them.
All detainees have basic items in their cells but some detainees are allowed to have more items issued on case by case basis meaning some detainees have more items than their basic items. These are called comfort items and include such things as extra water bottles, shoes, medical socks, towels, bed sheets, blankets, t-shirt and a mattress. Extra comfort items are issued based on their cooperation and compliance with camp rules. As an example, some detainees are issued one blanket along with a second as a comfort item, one large towel along with a second as a comfort item, and an ISO mat with a mattress as a comfort item. Detainees are not issued a t-shirt as an issued item but are given one as a comfort item. A listing of basic issue items and comfort items are included in the DEC 2005 Camp Delta SOP. Camp one is a compliant camp. If a detainee transfers into Camp One it is with the assumption he is allowed both basic and comfort items. Items are taken away from him if he becomes non-compliant.

After dinner was finished in Alpha Block (between 9:00-9:30) the detainees began chanting or praying. That particular night only the Camp Alpha Block was chanting. This is normal as on any given night, only one block normally chants or sings. When the signing began, the block NCO or SOG called for an interpreter to listen. The interpreter relayed to the SOG that the signing was normal religious singing and the chanting was of “Allah” which is pretty normal.

My normal routine in the causeway is to stop at the guard shacks from time to time and make sure things are normal. This is my SOG’s primary responsibility but I also check in with the guard shacks as I think necessary. I also walk the blocks on occasion but on that particular night, I am not sure if I walked Alpha Block or not. If I did, I do not recall anything being out of the ordinary. It is acceptable if I did walk Alpha Block and a blanket was hung up in one of the cells, I would not have thought it out of the ordinary. If I am on the blocks there should be an entry into the DIMS system that I was there and the times I was there. There should be a DIMS entry for anyone entering the Block that is not stationed there. I have been shown the DIMS entry which reflects I was on Alpha Block between 2220L and 2223L, 9JUN06. I recall being there at this time and remember the Block NCO being in the guard shack. I do not remember which of the guards were on the tier but I know that others were present because I would not leave the Block if I did not see other guards present. The rules require at least [illegible] be present in addition to the block NCO at all times. If the block NCO leaves, he must have a qualified watch-stander replace him. MA1 [illegible] was the Alpha Block NCO and only qualified Block NCO on the duty roster that night. If he had to leave, he would have had to call the SOG who would find a replacement for him.

After midnight, I was in Delta block logged into SIPRNET updating the classified Meal Tracker List.
I heard "ALFA BLOCK" over my radio and ran outside and to Alpha Block. I entered Alpha Block and saw guards outside Alpha 8, which is the cell for 0093. I saw two guards holding 0093's hands and feet. 0093 was lying on the deck in his cell and his eyes were rolled back. He had what appeared to be cloth stuffed in his mouth and he appeared to be biting the cloth. I told them to remove it and I was told they had tried to take it out but they couldn't take it out. I heard someone say "no pulse". Someone ran out and got a backboard. I went to the guard shack and called DOC and stated "Code RED" 0093. I said it twice because I was hyped up and they asked for a repeat. I asked for medical response and hung up. I ran back to A-8. The guards present were... I am not sure if... was there. After 0093 was on the backboard four guards grabbed it and headed to medical. I told those remaining to check on everyone else in the block and headed to medical. I arrived at medical and 0093 was placed on a table and about this time, I heard the 2nd "ALFA BLOCK" over my radio. I ran back to Alpha block and saw guards at A-12 which is the cell of detainee 588. There were two guards in A-12 and 588 was lying on the deck. His hands were bound and a twisted rope made out of sheets was around his neck. I did not see anything in his mouth. I directed someone to bring a backboard. I recall that MA1... was there and people from the escort team being there. I don't know their names. There was no headrest on backboard so I told... to hold his head and for them to transport him to medical.

I then heard someone shout "A-5" which is the cell of detainee 693. I went from A-12 to A-5 and when I got there, 693 had been cut down and he was on lying on the deck. 693 had... I can't recall if his hands or feet were bound. There were a lot of people from outside Alpha block helping out. 693 was taken to medical.

I went around and checked all the other detainees in the block and all were OK. The detainees were being real quiet. Most were either standing up or sitting on their beds. As we walked through, we would look at the detainees but they would lower their heads and not look at us. This was strange because they always look at you when you look at them.

I then went to... blocks to make sure all was well there.

This statement, consisting of this page and 2 other page(s) was typed for me by... we discussed its contents. I have read and understand the above statement. I have been given the opportunity to make any changes or corrections. I desire to make and have placed my initials over the changes or corrections. This statement is the truth to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 13th day of June 2006 in the year 2006 at
(b)(3): 10 USC §130b. (d)(8). (d)(8) of voluntary sworn statement of

Witness: NAVY CIVILIAN 

Representative, Naval Criminal Investigative Service
AUHE DERIVED FROM ARTICLE 136,
UCMJ (10 U.S.C. 936), AND 5 U.S.C. 503
MILITARY SUSPECT'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS

Place: NCISRA GUANTANAMO BAY CUBA
Date: June 15, 2006

I have been advised by (redacted) that I am suspected of FALSE

OFFICIAL STATEMENT

I have also been advised that:

(1) I have the right to remain silent and make no statement at all;
(2) Any statement I make can be used against me in a trial by court-martial or other judicial or administrative proceeding;
(3) I have the right to consult with a lawyer prior to any questioning. This lawyer may be a civilian lawyer retained by me at no cost to the United States, a military lawyer appointed to act as my counsel at no cost to me, or both;
(4) I have the right to have my retained lawyer and/or appointed military lawyer present during this interview; and
(5) I may terminate this interview at any time, for any reason.

I understand my rights as related to me and as set forth above. With that understanding, I have decided that I do not desire to remain silent, consult with a retained or appointed lawyer, or have a lawyer present at this time. I make this decision freely and voluntarily. No threats or promises have been made to me.

0 USC §130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Signature
Date & Time: 0755

Witnessed: Scott

Statement to follow

Page 1 of 1

GTMO SJA 116
STATEMENT

Place: Building 360, NCMRJ, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba
Date: June 14, 2006

I, [REDACTED], make the following free and voluntary statement to Special Agent [REDACTED], whom I know to be a Representative of the United States Naval Criminal Investigative Service. I make this statement of my own free will and without any threats made to me or promises extended. I fully understand that this statement is given concerning my knowledge of the three detainee deaths which occurred in the Alpha Block of Camp 1 at Camp Delta, Joint Task Force Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, during the early morning hours of 10JUN06.

For identification purposes, I, [REDACTED], am a Master at Arms (MA3), USN, JTF-NEGB, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. I arrived at GTMO on 03DEC05, and worked in Camp 4 for four months, and moved to escorts APR06. I was at GTMO previously for six months from FEB05 to AUG05, for rotation 6.0 with Alpha Company. During that time I worked in Camp 2 and 3 for four months and as an escort for two months. On the night 09JUN06, I was working on the Escort Team, either [REDACTED]. My 12 hour shift started at [REDACTED]. As an escort team member, I was responsible for timely movements of detainees to medical and interrogations. Escort team members also responsible for contractor escorts. Escort team members work camp wide, but are based out of building 1 where the DOC is located.

In the early morning hours of 10JUN06, at approximately 0030 or 0045, I was standing by when one of the escort control personnel called a Code [REDACTED] in Camp 1. The specific block was not indicated by escort control. Code [REDACTED] is medical brevity code indicating that a detainee is having life threatening symptoms, such as chest pains, but Code [REDACTED] is not the highest code. During the six months I was at GTMO in FEB to AUG05, there were a lot of Code [REDACTED]. For a while during that period there were over ten Code [REDACTED] a day, due to detainees dropping out due to hunger strikes. I became very familiar with Code [REDACTED] responses, and knew the exact procedures to handle a Code [REDACTED].

On 10JUN06, once the Code was called, my partner, [REDACTED], and I were told to report to the Platoon Leader (PL) of Camp 1. We were not given an exact location to respond to, so we proceeded to the SALLY of Camp 1. We asked the SALLY which block the Code was on, SALLY told us that the detainee was already in the Detainee Clinic (DET), at which point [REDACTED] and I proceeded to the DET clinic. I was surprised to hear that the detainee was already in the clinic, because he was not supposed to be moved from his cell without an escort team, for this reason I had a feeling something was wrong. From my experience, the usual response to a Code [REDACTED] involves the Block Guard calling the Block Sergeant who will call the Sergeant of the Guard (SOG). The SOG then calls DOC, and DOC contacts medical and escort teams to respond to the detainee’s cell prior to removal.

As [REDACTED], and I entered the clinic, we saw the detainee, ISN 093, lying on a stretcher in the center of the clinic with a lot of medical personnel around him. On the morning of 10JUN06, [REDACTED] and I were responsible first detainee. When detainees are out of their cells it is our...
responsibility to look after their well-being and to ensure the safety of the detainee and those around him. The first thing I noticed about the detainee was his blue feet. I also noticed the detainee was limp. We asked medical if we would be going to the Naval Station Hospital and they said we would, so I called back to control to have someone bring over a full set of shackles and the ID card for ISN 093, because this is standard procedure. ID cards or "Hall cards" are required to move a detainee.

At about this time, medical advised the Camp 1 guards that they needed to remove the handcuffs that were on the detainee so that they could put the IV in him. I also recall a more senior medical person telling a junior corpsman to "stick him with something or start chest compression," but I never saw any medical staff perform chest compressions on the detainee. I did observe medical putting IV's into the detainee at about the same time I asked and was told the detainee on the bed was ISN 093.

Medical advised the Camp 1 guards that they needed to remove the handcuffs that were on the detainee so that they could put the IV in him. After the handcuffs were removed, I observed a Corpsman wrapping an altered detainee sheet, that looked like the same material ISN 093 used to hang himself around the detainee's right wrist. The other side of the material was bound to the detainee's left wrist, with approximately a foot of cloth in between. The cloth was not on the detainees wrists when the Camp 1 guards removed the handcuffs a few minutes earlier. One reason I noticed this is because usually escorts respond to the cell on a Code[R] and Flex cuffs are used, but in this instance we did not go to the cell, and the detainee was handcuffed.

One of the other detainees then arrived on a stretcher. I observed that this detainee was unconscious also. I directed the group carrying the second detainee, ISN 588, to put him into the first room on the left while I moved chairs out of the hallway. I moved back to ISN 093, and noticed that FCI Combat Camera, from DCS was filming ISN 093. Then the Commanding Officer of Joint Detention Group (JDG), arrived. The Colonel handed his cell phone to MA2 and asked her to get Naval Expeditionary Guard Battalion Commanding Officer, Commander in Chief, to find a qualified person to go with the detainee, ISN 093, and I, along with the two EMTs carried ISN 093 out to the ambulance and accompanied him to the hospital. As soon as we got into the ambulance, the corpsman started up chest compressions again. I was in the back of the ambulance with the corpsman, while was sent by the corpsman to find a qualified driver for the ambulance. In about three minutes returned with a corpsman from the clinic who drove the vehicle. The corpsmen in the back of the ambulance said they needed my help, so I pulled the detainees neck back to keep his airway open while also keeping the air mask on his mouth and compressing the air bag twice for every fifteen chest compressions given by the corpsman. I remember that it was very rough ride to the hospital. When the corpsman checked the first set of vitals in the ambulance, he said the detainee's heart was beating, and I observed this on the monitor. But I...
am not sure if his heart was beating on its own or if we were doing it. The heart beat was up and down the whole way to the hospital.

When we were about halfway to the hospital ISN 093 started spitting up blood all over my hands. The blood was not like normal blood, it was thick and orange. I pulled back, but the corpsman said to keep going. When I pulled ISN 093's head back again the corpsman and I noticed that the detainee's neck was swollen, puffy and was a purple color. As the corpsman pushed on the detainee's neck, the corpsman seemed surprised to see that the detainee still had a piece of material wrapped tightly three or four times around his neck. The corpsman tried to put his finger in between the cloth and the detainee's neck, but the material was too tight for him to do this. I also tried to do the same thing, but without success. I know that the material was an altered sheet that was ripped into strands. I know this because detainees used to do it all the time when I worked in Camp 4, in order to make things such as clothes lines and shower curtain lines. The cloth was knotted around the detainee's neck in the front, and appeared to have been cut just above the knot. The material was not braided, but was bunched up. The corpsman cut the "rope" a bit to loosen it, but did not cut it all the way through, and it remained on the detainee's body. We continued CPR all the way to the hospital and on into the Detention/Detainee Advanced Care Unit. We were directed by medical to put ISN093 on one of the beds, where CPR was continued by the corpsman, while I used the phone to inform DOC that we had arrived. The DOC contact logged the call in at 0111. Medical surrounded ISN 093 and commenced care. I answered the phone, and a female Commander from the DET clinic was asking for the status of the detainee, but the status was uncertain at that point. The Commander called back and said that the other two were dead, and again asked for the status. One of the medical staff looked at me and held his thumb and index finger about an inch apart and said, "He's that close to death." I told the Commander we would call her back when we had a status. The head of S3 arrived with a JAG officer to assess the situation. ISN093 was pronounced dead at 0150. S3 then relieved us to go "back to the wire." From the time I was told to report to Camp 1 and the time ISN 093 was pronounced dead was probably about an hour and fifteen minutes.

Throughout the time I have been at GTMO (this includes both rotations), I have watched the detainees be given more leeway and have more items provided to them by Command. Detainees have consistently been allowed to get away with Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) violations that have been allowed to slide by the Command. Violations include the hanging of sheets too high and altering them. When a guard observes a violation, the Guard can ask a detainee to stop what he is doing, but can do little else when a violations occurs except write the detainee up and put the incident in the system. Detainees are allowed to get away with small stuff and then this grows until they are allowed to get away with even more.

This statement was typed out by me. No other pages was typed for me by someone else we discussed its contents. I have read and understand the above statement. I have been given the opportunity to make any changes or corrections I desire to make and have placed my initials over the changes or corrections. This statement is true.

Signature

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 15th day of June in the year 2006, at
Witnessed:

Representative Naval Criminal Investigative Service
AUTH ORATED FROM ARTICLE 134,
UCMJ (10 U.S.C. 935) and 5 U.S.C. 703
PLACE: NCISRA Guantanamo Bay, Cuba
DATE: June 14, 2006

I reported for Mid-watch (1730-0530) at JTF-GTMO on 09JUN06 to receive turn over from 0530-1730. Subsequent to turnover, I assumed the watch for the night. I last saw ISN-093 alive approximately a month before 09JUN06. I last saw ISN-588 alive approximately 10-12 days prior to 09JUN06. I last saw ISN-693 approximately 10-12 days prior to 09JUN06. For background purposes, the codeword "snowball" is used by the guard staff at JTF-GTMO as indicating a self harmed attempt, as defined in the Standard Operating Procedures. Approximately at sunset, I noticed the inmates from Alpha Block were singing. This in itself is typically not unusual, especially on Friday evenings, however I did note the singing was particularly louder, and in seemingly better unison, than normal. At approximately 2300, I departed for chow. At approximately midnight, my entire team and I were in the office when, at approximately 5-10 minutes before midnight, Control received a phone call, from I believe, the Commander on Alpha Block. For informational purposes, I was briefly informed to convey a medical emergency of a detainee. I sent my team to respond to the declared emergency. Shortly thereafter, I walked out the side door and noticed a detainee running from the Alpha Block and entered my office. He informed me that we had a "snowball". My team and I immediately departed our office and entered into "Alpha" Block. Subsequent to entering Alpha Block, I donned rubber surgical gloves, saw ISN-588 on the ground while a number of Sergeant of the Guard (SOG) and Alpha Block personnel were placing ISN-588 onto a backboard. I knelt down and felt for pulse and respirations. I detected no signs of life. His eyes were open and blank. His mouth was open and I could see his teeth. I noticed his toenails and fingernails had already started to turn blue...
I then heard someone shout "Alpha-5". I believe the person yelled "Alpha 5" in an attempt to wake ISN-693. When I arrived, I paused to notice what I thought to believe was ISN-693 laying on his bunk under a blanket. I then noticed a blanket draped in the corner of the cell. At this time, someone, a unidentified USN service member, with a set of keys unlocked the cell door and partially entered the room, hesitant to fully enter the room without further backup. The unknown service member felt the bed as I looked behind the blanket suspended in the corner of the cell. I immediately saw ISN-693 hanging from his neck between two blankets. I pulled on the blanket facing the front of the cell in an attempt to get it down when another person cut it free. I approached the body and lifted it to release the tension on the noose. ISN-693's waist was against my chest. ISN-693 was suspended approximately six (6) inches from the deck. No part of his body was in contact with the deck. Someone behind me cut the noose and ISN-693's weight shifted so I automatically transitioned ISN-693 to the ground. I noticed ISN-693's hands were bound and secured to the front of his body inside a makeshift belt fashioned from the same material that comprised the noose. The noose that suspended ISN-693 was wrapped several times around his neck. While I untied and shackled ISN-693's hands, I untied the noose from his neck. I called out for a backboard. I checked for respirations and a pulse but could not detect any signs of life. I noticed ISN-693 was cool to the touch. I saw and felt the ligature marks around his neck, they felt rough and "plastic". ISN-693's mouth was slightly open and I could see his teeth. I did not see anything in his mouth. His eyes were slightly open and one was open wider than the other. Someone brought a backboard in to the cell and prepared the board to place him on the board. Out of habit, I instructed them to assist me to place ISN-693 onto the backboard prior to transporting him from his cell. We secured him to the backboard and transported him (physically ran) to the Detainee Clinic. Medical personnel directed us to take ISN-693 to room eleven (11). Along the way to room eleven, I noticed what I believe to be ISN-093 in the trauma area with emergency personnel performing CPR. Someone called for a combat camera. I am combat camera qualified so I volunteered to videotape the event. I ran the video camera for approximately two (2) minutes until I was instructed to halt taping. Members of my team and I were helping the corpsmen as we could. At approximately 0116 hrs, the attending medical doctor pronounced ISN-693 and ISN-588 to be dead. After they were pronounced dead, members assigned and me to guard the bodies. I heard there was another Detainee to the medical facility so I was instructed by the attending medical doctor to relocate ISN-693 from room eleven to room ten (10) where ISN-588 was located in order to free room eleven for the incoming Detainee. We collected all the materials that came on the Detainees and consolidated them with that specific Detainee, placing the items on their bodies. I instructed to shut the door of room ten and posted them outside the door with instructions to allow no person inside. I assumed responsibility for segregating ISN-693 and ISN-588 in room ten. A few moments later, I was informed Special Agent and requested my presence in the conference room. I signed out of the logbook and departed the medical facility to the conference room at building one - NCTIS 5580/26(1/2001)
Continuation of Voluntary Sworn Statement of

(b)(3): 10 USC §130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

This statement, consisting of this page and 2 other page(s) were typed for me by Special Investigative Service as we discussed its contents. I have read and understand the above statement. I have been given the opportunity to make any changes or corrections I desire to make and have placed my initials over the changes or corrections. This statement is the truth to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature:

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 14 day of June in the year 2006 at NCIS RA Guantanamo Bay.

Witnessed: Special Agent 14 June 06 Representative, Naval Criminal Investigative Service

AUTH: DERIVED FROM ARTICLE 136, 936) AND 5 U.S.C. 303

UCMJ (10 U.S.C.)

Page 3 of 3 (Formerly NCIS Form 916/04-81)
Interview of [REDACTED]  
28 July 2006
Not interviewed by NCIS; CPT [REDACTED], JA, present during questioning on Porter's behalf.

Describe your role on the night of the suicides, 9-10 June.

Camp duty officer—on overwatch; thinks he had Camps 1, 2, 3, 4; duties include confirming all guards present, watches are running normally. Typically varied his routine to keep guards on their toes. Doesn't remember exactly the details of that night. When he received the news about the crisis, he had inspected Camp 4 once, none of the remainder.

He was in Bldg 3 when [REDACTED] phoned with the news. Could barely understand him—out of breath, plus accented, excited. [REDACTED] reported Code [REDACTED] on A Block, with detainee unresponsive.

Porter ran to Camp 1, people running around; a team was moving the last detainee out of the sally port as Porter came in. Didn't talk to any of the A Block personnel. Stood on the causeway on the outer sally, could see straight down the tier. MAs were going cell to cell, making sure detainees were awake.

What are the rules on hanging things in cells?

OK as long as guards could see movement.

How was word passed regarding changes in procedures?

Word was passed in the daily Battle Update Brief, 0900, conducted by [REDACTED]. Day OICs or other camp reps would attend, & each report info/activities for the last 24 hours, including Form 508s. Codes would be medical alerts, significant activity, unusual behavior, anything out of the ordinary. Code [REDACTED] would ask about ISNs, pass word on changes in procedures.

AOIC then would go into camp & tell the Platoon Leader. PLs maintain passdown log.

Porter had no role in generating Guard Mount Messages; if he thought something need to be passed via GMM, he'd ask OIC or DOC to put out a GMM.

GMMs were available via DIMS. If block NCO was good, he'd check for new procedures at the start of shift; Pl & SOG should check at start of shift. Porter doesn't know whether this was being done or not.

What was your understanding of the level of threat of suicide in the weeks before 9-10 June?
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didn't receive any info that detainees were planning anything like that. If there had been an increased threat, it would've been in...dially BUB, and the OIC would've passed straight to PL, and been logged in PL's passdown log for transmission to reliefs. doesn't know who reviewed the passdown log; he would check it every once in a while to be nosy--helped him stay updated because he wasn't in camp all the time like operational guard force.

Why do you think it took the Alpha Block guards so long to find the bodies?

doesn't know; he believes they just weren't paying attention, they were not vigilant; there's a difference between standing post and being vigilant--standing post just to be there.

What were the procedures for a random headcount?

What sort of guidance were you given by your superior officers regarding enforcement of rules?

Before started working nights, the XO told him to make sure guards weren't sleeping on watch, and if something happens, to make sure it was handled right. XO also told to cover all the camps. characterized the XO's guidance as vague.

Describe typical turnovers with day-shift AOIC.

Usually there was no physical relief--day AOIC usually left around, usually arrived around. Occasionally would call PLs with questions about recent events, but usually consulted DIMS instead. Occasionally the day-shift AOIC would send email reminders for specific tasks, e.g., making sure weigh-ins were complete.

Describe typical watch duties.

Usually do a circuit of all Camps 1, 2, 3, & 4 after assuming the watch. Read emails & DIMS. Walk around the camps; 9 out of 10 times, the block NCOs would accompany him while on block. Toward the end of watch, work on BUB slides for use by day shift. Characterized pace of work as slow. If he saw something out of the ordinary he would correct it, and would ask SOG or PL about issues.

What sort of guidance did you give the guard force about enforcing the SOPs?

had no detention background in Navy brigs, and no training at Fort Lewis. Emphasis throughout Camp 1 was on compliance, but in the sense of detainees not acting up too much, rather than in the sense of complying on first request to return water bottles.
or chow. Detainees hated guards transferring from Camps 2/3, where compliance meant prompt response to guard orders. Numerous guards felt like detainees were running the camp, doing just about anything they wanted. In places like smoking area, would hear guards complain they’re just waiters serving the detainees. Higher authorities didn’t back up guards’ requests for disciplining detainees—no reprimand for bad behavior.

Example: If guards took a sheet away from a detainee for unraveling an end, detainee wouldn’t like it, higher ups saw this as a quality of life issue for detainees, set up a call for detainees to sew in.

**Did compliance mean more relaxed enforcement of rules?**

Yes. The notion prevailed that “A compliant camp is a good camp.” noted that frequently when guards wrote up a detainee and sent the report to or nothing happened.

**How did higher authorities measure the degree of detainee compliance?**

Numerous sources: number of disciplinary reports to the disciplinary SNCO; comments from cultural advisor; comments from AOR in BUB, which was comments from Camp & then days before suicides.

Day OICs would explain changes as happening for various reasons, such as the International Committee of the Red Cross was down on
What sort of guidance were you given by your superior officers regarding enforcement of rules?

What sort of guidance did you give the guard force about enforcing the SOPs?

Did you find it necessary to tell guards to ease up on enforcement?
GTMO SJA 127

Place: Camp America, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba
Date: 8 August 2006

I hereby make the following free and voluntary statement to Col [REDACTED] whom I know to be appointed by RADM Harry B. Harris, Jr., to conduct an informal investigation into whether (1) the Camp Delta SOP was followed by relevant Joint Detention Group personnel during the overnight hours of 9-10 June 2006; and, (2) if the SOP was not followed, whether this failure contributed to the ability of the detainees to commit suicide on that night. I make this statement of my own free will and without any threats made to me or promises extended. I fully understand that this statement is given concerning my knowledge of the death of three detainees in Alpha Block.

My assignment at JTF-GTMO is OIC for the Camp 1 Detention Facility. The Camp 1 Detention Facility includes Blocks A-D. My duties include: Supervising personnel in the administration, operation and safe, humane detainment of over 500 enemy combatants within the maximum-security confines of Camp Delta. My chain of command on the night of 9-10 June 2006, beginning with the CINC, was Operationally: [REDACTED] with myself. On the same night, the chain of command of my subordinates from Alpha Block up to me were: [REDACTED].

When did you assume your duties as OIC of Camp 1, and what experience did you have in Camp Delta before that?

07 June 2006, Previously was Camp 4 OIC and a couple of weeks as Camp 1 OIC in February.

Describe those duties in general, and also describe your role on the night of the suicides, 9-10 June.

As OIC I take care of sailors, makes sure they're doing their job—"From CJDG standpoint" I'm like a division officer. "From NEGB standpoint" I'm a Dept. Head, Approves special liberty, leave chits, take care of personnel and the Camp. About midnight on 9/10 June, a Platoon Leader or [REDACTED] called to tell me they had 3 bodies already had been moved out of Camp 1, I moved back and forth between DOC and Camp 1, then oversaw movement of detainees to Camp 2/3—he thinks to T Block.
What sort of guidance did you receive from superiors regarding the interpretation of SOPs?

When I arrived in February, I wasn't really given guidance on enforcing SOPs. COL. [redacted] talked to me and the AOIC, saying "The SOP is a guide; use common sense." For example, in a BUB, I was using the chart for assigning punishment, but COL. [redacted] said I could use discretion.

My guidance to AOICs was to follow the Guard Mount Messages, or the SOP, and whatever procedures, if any, were to stay in effect.

How do you oversee the AOIC turnovers?

Turnover from day shift to night was via email. Depending on changes or things that happened during the day I might talk with the on-duty AOIC about an issue, or phone or email them. We all work in the same office, with night AOIC going from [redacted] day AOIC from [redacted] and the first sergeant going [redacted] about

When your superiors ordered changes in procedure, how were those changes communicated to the guard force?

Info came out in daily Battle Update Briefing and guard mount. At BUB, COL. [redacted] would say, "This is how we'll do it," then he'd brief COs, then filtered to Platoon Leaders, Sergeants of Guard, etc. Guard Mount Messages would be generated & PLS would check in DIMS. I hadn't yet been to a Camp 1 guard mount before 9/10 June.

Compare the enforcement of rules in Camp[1] as compared to Camp[2]?

Can't really answer this well, at that time I was not involved with camp[2]'s operations as I'm now. The rules were the same, but the attitude of the guards was stricter.

Camp 1 is called a compliant camp, but detainees routinely refuse to follow guard orders or argue with guards; how can all the negotiation be called compliant?
What sort of presence did you maintain in Camp 1?

I tour various blocks daily, or at least make it into the camp to see how the camp and guards are doing and if any issues need to be resolved. There are times when going onto the blocks riles the detainees, who when senior personnel come on to the block tend to stop making their requests to the guards and begin constantly calling to senior personnel. There, by circumventing the block guards authority.

What are the procedures for a random headcount?

Do you recall the name of the officer giving training on random headcount procedures at guard mounts in the weeks before 9-10 June, and do you recall the substance of those procedures?

I don't remember a brief on random headcounts.

What are the strengths and weaknesses of your AOICs?

is strong willed, keeps guards on their toes, no befuddlement. Counsel's guards when required. I remember hearing about her counseling on watch standing duties. This happened prior to the suicides and prior to me being the Camp OIC.

is proactive leader and manager and is an still out doing a great the job.

What are the rules on hanging things in cells?
What was your understanding of the level of threat of suicide in the weeks before 9-10 June?

There was three attempted back in May while I was on leave. So almost daily we were told that the guards need to remain vigilant in their duties because some detainees might want to.

Why do you think it took the Alpha Block guards so long to find the bodies?

don't know.

Where are the log books for Camp 1 Sergeants of the Guard and Platoon Leaders?

Log book was turned over to on 11 AUG06.

What's a counseling chit, and where are records of them maintained?

A counseling chit is a written record to inform and provide corrective actions for a sailor for any of the following reasons: performance, responsibilities, OJT progress, career advancement, support of dependents, indebtedness, substandard appearance, personal behavior. Any chits that are generated are placed in the members DIVO note book or record. Those are keep on DELTA Block office for Camp 2/3 personnel and Mental Health Unit for Camp 4 personnel.
When did you assume the Deputy CO duties? What guidance did she receive about SOPs?

I arrived for duty in August 2005 and assumed command of the Navy Expeditionary Guard Battalion (NEGB) (then known as the Navy Provisional Guard Battalion) on 27 August 2005. In February 2006 I was appointed the Deputy Commander, Joint Detention Group in addition to my duties as the Commander, NDGB.

What were the rules regarding hanging things on the cell walls?

Although not articulated in the form of a direct order, I understood my guidance from [Commander, Joint Detention Group] to be that the SOP was the policy for the conduct of business in the camps and that, while not perfect, it was not a ‘guideline’ but more a ‘regulation’ to be adhered to. I, in turn, put this out to the camp leadership, from [Commander, Joint Detention Group] but also told them to exercise good judgment so that guards did not become ‘heavy handed’ or be accused of taunting/baiting detainees.

There were differences in the way that the camp chains of command operated based on the interpretation of the SOP by the camp leadership, the dynamics of the individual

The guards in Camp 1 felt there was too much negotiation going on with detainees and that the camp leadership was interpreting the SOPs too liberally with the intent of keeping a quiet camp. In April I conducted a Command Climate Survey to assess the command’s morale and feelings on their quality of life and working conditions. While the survey itself is a standardized survey, I also included questions that the individuals could answer freely. When I received their responses and noticed that many of them addressed their concerns with ‘interpretation of the SOP’, I conducted CAPT’s Calls with all personnel by pay grade to find out what was causing their frustration. The general complaints centered around the camp leadership not enforcing the SOP so that the detainees would stay calm and not cause problems, telling them to be ‘flexible’ in what parts of the SOP were strictly enforce, that there were too many changes to the SOP that
were coming out too quickly, and that they were losing their ability to control the detainees with all the negotiating that was taking place.

With each subsequent group that I met with, up to and including the officers, I reiterated that the SOP was not merely guidance, but the policy that I expected them to adhere to when running the camps and dealing with detainees.

The SOP rules concerning hanging things on cell walls was vague. While it allows for

would enforce the rules for good order and discipline.

*If you had walked on the block that night and seen sheets & blankets hanging up, what would you have done?*

If I had been on the blocks that night, as someone with a different perspective since I did not spend 12-14 hours a day on the block, I would probably have noticed the blankets and

Generally, when I (or any senior leadership) came into the camps, the word would be passed that I was in the camps and headed to a certain block. Block operations would always be running smoothly when I arrived and normally I would only find minor corrections that would be needed.

*What are strengths & weaknesses of Alpha Block guard team on night of 9/10 June?*

The leadership on the block and in the camp on 9/10 June was proficient at their jobs. The night AOIC was [__________] While quiet and reserved, he has solid leadership skills and a good grasp of camp operations. [__________] is also reserved, and while not the strongest Chief (in terms of leadership) in the command, I had never had complaints or heard of any deficiencies in his performance from the camp leadership or the Sailors assigned to the camp. I did not know the junior Sailors individually as that is the responsibility of the camp leadership. I would normally only know the Sailors individually if they had been brought to my attention for something they had done extremely well or if they had encountered an issue or problem (such as NJP).
**How was turnover conducted between AOICs?**

Turnover between off-going and on-going shifts is done in the camps as part of guard mount. While I (or the CMC) would occasionally go by guard mounts to either give a coin or share some info, it was not on a routine basis in May as I had been conducting CAPT’s Calls during the month. Turnover between the Camp AOICs was normally done in the Camp offices or in the Camp OICs office in the NEGB HQ trailer. It was not done in front of me since I may or may not have been in the building at the time. If I needed to ask a question, I would call the AOIC on the cell phone.

**How were random headcounts conducted?**

**Why do you think the Alpha Block guards didn’t spot the bodies earlier?**

On the night of 9/10 June I think the guards did not see the bodies earlier because they were not thinking that the detainees would commit suicide. The recent attempts had been from [redacted] and when they did encounter a detainee making a suicide gesture by hanging, it was usually a fake gesture and they were not in real danger of hurting themselves because of quick guard force reaction. On that night it was hot and dark on the block and when the detainees went to sleep earlier than usual for a Friday night, I think the guards were grateful that there had not been any problems on their shift that night. They were complacent since there had not been any recent problems in the camp or on that block.

**What sort of intelligence was being briefed on the threat of suicide?**

There had not been any concrete intel passed on to the guards about how a detainee might commit suicide, and the only real attempt that some of them had seen was when 261 cut himself a few months earlier. I don’t think their minds were able to grasp the concept of suicide, jihad and martyrdom and the self-discipline it would take a person to commit an act like this.
Place: Camp America, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba
Date: 28 July 2006

I make the following free and voluntary statement to [redacted], whom I know to be appointed by RADM Harry B. Harris, Jr., to conduct an informal investigation into whether (1) the Camp Delta SOP was followed by relevant Joint Detention Group personnel during the overnight hours of 9-10 June 2006; and, (2) if the SOP was not followed, whether this failure contributed to the ability of the detainees to commit suicide on that night. I make this statement of my own free will and without any threats made to me or promises extended. I fully understand that this statement is given concerning my knowledge of the death of three detainees in Alpha Block.

At the time of the suicides, the night of 9-10 June 2006, my assignment at JTF-GTMO was AOIC for the Camp 1 Detention Facility. The Camp 1 Detention Facility includes Blocks A-D. My duties include ensuring the safe and humane treatment was provided to all detainees assigned to Camp 1, ensuring the health and welfare of the Sailors assigned to Camp 1, and maintaining various administrative duties for the Sailors assigned. My subordinates include the Platoon Leader, Sergeant of the Guard, down to the Alpha Block NCO on the night of 9-10 June 2006.

Describe your role on the night of the suicides, 9-10 June.

I was assigned as the daytime AOIC from 10 Feb 2006, I was not on duty the night of 9-10 June however I am always on call.

What are the rules on hanging things in cells?

At the time the incident occurred detainees were allowed to hang items in their cells as long as guard force personnel were able to maintain continuous line of sight of the detainee.

How frequently did you attend guard mount? Walk cell blocks?

I attended guard mounts randomly to address the guard force or to ensure the time allotted for guard mount was being utilized effectively. I walked the cell blocks daily and was present in the causeway when not in the camp to tour the blocks.
What was your understanding of the level of threat of suicide in the weeks before 9-10 June?

There was always a general level of threat of suicide in the camp however, the weeks before the Alpha Block incident the threat level was HIGH. This was due to intelligence leading the command to believe an incident of a mass self harm was eminent.

What guidance did you give the guard force about that threat?

I advised my guard force of the intelligence received regarding the threat on numerous occasions to ensure they took it seriously. I also had my PL’s/SOG’s hold guard mount training on ‘[Redacted] procedures on each shift’s first day on after having two consecutive days off to ensure the procedures were fresh in their minds....also to reiterate the seriousness of the threat.

Why do you think it took the Alpha Block guards so long to find the bodies?

I don’t know, I wasn’t present on the shift so I cannot provide a clear answer on that.

What are the procedures for a random headcount? Were you aware of guards not visually confirming the headcount via sighting of skin or movement?

Do you recall the name of the officer giving training on random headcount procedures at guard mounts in the weeks before 9-10 June, and do you recall the substance of those procedures?

I do not recall any such training.

When there were changes in procedure directed by your superiors, how did you communicate those changes to the guard force?

Most changes came out via the BUB, our daily morning meeting and were usually verbal orders directed to us by the CIDG. I normally communicated those orders directly to my PL and SOG verbally directly after the morning meeting.

How did you verify that those changes were clearly understood by the guard force?

I would ask the guard force operational/procedural questions when touring the blocks. I also had my PL’s maintain a pass down log to ensure we maintained continuity.
throughout the shifts. Also, when procedural changes are made they were usually put in a Guard mount message in DIMS for the PL’s and SOG’s to brief at guard mounts.

What sort of guidance were you given by your superior officers regarding enforcement of rules?

I was told by the CJDG to go by the SOP however, it was a guideline and us as OIC’s and AOIC’s need to think outside the box at times to assist in preventing incidents from occurring. Sometime after this conversation when I became the DCJDG we were told to follow the SOP verbatim. Sometimes our guidance seemed to be conflicting because there were times we (OIC’s/AOIC’s) would make decisions in accordance with the SOP and get counseled on using common sense at the morning meetings. For some time there were conflicts between DOC and the camps because personnel from DOC would call directly to the blocks and order the guards to do things without the OIC’s or AOIC’s knowledge….one incident in particular was a call that came from DOC (The Chief of Discipline) to Alpha block telling the guards to take a detainee out to the recreation yard to allow him to cross camp talk to a detainee in Camp 2/3 (I believe the

What sort of guidance did you give the guard force about enforcing the SOPs?

I instructed my guard force to follow the SOP and any guard mount messages that came out.

Did you find it necessary to tell guards to ease up on enforcement?

No, I never directed guards to “Ease Up” on enforcing the SOP.
TAB D -- (U) Joint Detention Group Leadership

1. (U) Statement, 17 June 2006 [NCIS exhibit 48]
2. (U) Statement, 14 August 2006
3. (U) Statement, 8 August 2006
4. (U) Statement, 28 July 2006
STATEMENT

PLACE: Guantanamo Bay, Cuba
DATE: 19JUN06

I, [Name Redacted], make this free and voluntary statement to [Name Redacted], who I know to be a Representative of the United States Naval Criminal Investigative Service. I make this statement of my own free will and without any threats made to me or promises extended. I fully understand that this statement is given concerning my knowledge of the deaths of three detainees found hanged on 10JUN06 inside Alpha Block, Camp 1 aboard the Joint Task Force Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

I arrived at the Guantanamo Detainee Clinic at 0203 hrs after [Name Redacted] contacted me at my residence via telephone instructing me to do so. I could not provide me details regarding the reasons for my recall over the phone. When I reported to my office, the Executive Officer instructed me to take charge of the two bodies located at the Detainee Clinic. When I arrived, ISN-588 and ISN-693 had already been pronounced dead and were located in room ten (10). Three guards had already secured room ten (10) and were standing guard. Their badge numbers are [Redacted]. I opened the door and confirmed that I did in fact have two dead bodies in room ten (10). I then inquired the medical staff if the deceased detainees were treated in any other room, other than room ten (10) in the Detainee Clinic. I was informed they had treated detainees in room eleven and the examination room. After the medical staff cleaned the rooms used to treat the deceased detainees, I instructed [Redacted] to maintain a log of all personnel who entered room ten (10). The following personnel entered room ten (10), MACS [Redacted] at 0220 hrs, [Redacted] entered at 0223 hrs, [Redacted] at 0229 hrs, [Redacted] at 0231 hrs, the cultural advisor entered at 0300 and departed at 0301 hrs, 0318 hrs four (4) unidentified NCIS and CITF Special Agents including Special Agent [Redacted] entered at 0318 hrs. A combat cameraman entered room ten (1) at 0318 hrs. NCIS processed the scene behind closed doors. Subsequent to their departure, Guards MA [Redacted] and [Redacted] and I escorted the two aforementioned detainees to the morgue. [Redacted] assumed our watch of the two detainees at approximately 0545 hrs.
Continuation of Voluntary Sworn Statement of
On 19 JUN 06

(b)(3): 10 USC §130b(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

This statement, consisting of this page and one other page was typed for me by Special

[Blank Space]

as we discussed its contents. I have read and understand the above

statement. I have been given the opportunity to make any changes or corrections I desire
to make and have placed my initials over the changes or corrections. This statement is
the truth to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 19TH day of JUN 2006
in the year 2006

at GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA

Witness

UCMJ (10 U.S.C. 936) AND 3 U.S.C. 303
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SUBJ: V/AL ZABRANI, YASSER TALAL/CIV (DECEASED)

U.S. NAVAL CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE

EXCLUSION(S):
(A) Ambulance Report for V/AL ZABRANI/10JUN06

BIOGRAPHICAL DATA:

EMPLOYMENT:

SSN:

DOB:

POB:

RESIDENCE:

REPORTED BY: [Redacted]

Special Agent

OFFICE: NC13RG Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
# Ambulance Report

## U.S. Naval Hospital Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

### Call Data

- **Incident ID:** GTMO SJA 144
- **Location:** Guantanamo Bay
- **Patient Name:** [Redacted]
- **Address:** [Redacted]
- **Patient Age:** [Redacted]
- **Sex:** [Redacted]
- **Vehicle Type:** [Redacted]
- **Run Time:**
  - **Call Received:** [Redacted]
  - **Time Out:** [Redacted]
  - **Time On Scene:** [Redacted]
  - **Time Leave Scene:** [Redacted]
  - **Time at Hospital:** [Redacted]

### Patient Information

- **Chief Complaint:** [Redacted]
- **Location of Injury:** [Redacted]
- **Type of Incident:** [Redacted]
- **Medications:** [Redacted]
- **Allergies:** [Redacted]
- **History:** [Redacted]
- **History of Illness:** [Redacted]
- **Past Medical History:** [Redacted]
- **Medications:** [Redacted]
- **Past Procedures:** [Redacted]
- **Relative History:** [Redacted]
- **Dermatological:** [Redacted]
- **Family History:** [Redacted]
- **Surgical History:** [Redacted]
- **Social History:** [Redacted]
- **Immunizations:** [Redacted]
- **Hematologic:** [Redacted]
- **Surgical History:** [Redacted]
- **Neurologic:** [Redacted]
- **Endocrine:** [Redacted]
- **Blood Type:** [Redacted]
- **Serology:** [Redacted]
- **Other:** [Redacted]

### Treatment

- **Intravenous:** [Redacted]
- **Oxygen:** [Redacted]
- **Fluids and Electrolytes:** [Redacted]
- **Analgesics:** [Redacted]
- **Antibiotics:** [Redacted]
- **Other:** [Redacted]

### Notes

- **Discharge:** [Redacted]
- **Disposal:** [Redacted]
- **Final Diagnosis:** [Redacted]
- **Next of Kin:** [Redacted]
- **Address:** [Redacted]
- **Phone:** [Redacted]
- **Other:** [Redacted]
TAB G – (U) Guantanamo Bay Naval Hospital Medical Personnel, 9 June 2006
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<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.</th>
<th>WT Interviews 9/10 Jun 2006 Statements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a.</strong> Statement, 14 June 2006 [NCIS Exhibit 18, cited as NCIS 6/14/2006]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b.</strong> Re-interview 16 June 2006 [NCIS Exhibit 19, cited as NCIS 6/16/2006]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b.</strong> Statement, 26 July 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TAB C -- (U) Other Camp Duty Guard Force, Night of 9/10 Jun 2006

   c. (U) Statement, 25 July 2006
   d. (U) Statement, 16 August 2006

2. (U) Statement, 14 June 2006 [NCIS Exhibit 21, cited as NCIS 6/14/2006]


4. (U) Statement, 14 June 2006 [NCIS Exhibit 25, cited as NCIS 6/14/2006]

5. (U) Statement, 14 June 2006 [NCIS Exhibit 27, cited as NCIS 6/14/2006]

6. (U) Statement, 14 June 2006 [NCIS Exhibit 6, cited as NCIS 6/14/2006]
   a. (U) Statement, 14 June 2006 [NCIS Exhibit 8, cited as NCIS 6/14/2006]
   b. (U) Statement, 25 July 2006
   c. (U) Statement, 14 August 2006

7. (U) Statement, 14 June 2006 [NCIS Exhibit 29, cited as NCIS 6/14/2006]


12. (U) Interview Notes, 28 July 2006

13. (U) Statements
TAB A -- (U) Letter of Appointment and Camp Delta Standard Operating Procedures

1. (U) Appointing Letter and Extension Letter

2. (U) Camp Delta Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) dated December 2005


4. (U) (b)(3):10 USC §130b (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) Interview, 17 August 2006

5. (U) Revised Table of Authorized/Unauthorized Activities, 12 March 2006

MEMORANDUM FOR [b](3):10 USC §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C) U.S. Marine Corps, Office for the Administrative Review of the Detention of Enemy Combatants (OARDEC)

SUBJECT: Appointment as Investigating Officer

1. Between 9 and 10 June 2006, three detainees committed suicide in their cells on Alpha Block of Camp 1, Camp Delta. NCIS is investigating many of the facts and circumstances surrounding the suicides. However, NCIS is not investigating whether: (1) the Camp Delta SOP was followed by relevant Joint Detention Group personnel during the overnight hours of 9-10 June 2006; and, (2) if the SOP was not followed, whether this failure contributed to the ability of the detainees to commit suicide on that night. NCIS is not investigating these matters because they are not considered to be criminal in nature.

2. You are appointed as an Investigating Officer pursuant to AR 15-6 to conduct an informal investigation into whether: (1) the Camp Delta SOP was followed by relevant Joint Detention Group personnel during the overnight hours of 9-10 June 2006; and, (2) if the SOP was not followed, whether this failure contributed to the ability of the detainees to commit suicide on that night.

3. Your report of investigation should be provided to me, through the Chief of Staff and Deputy Commander, JTF, not later than 15 days from the date you receive this letter of appointment. You are directed to coordinate your investigation with the Staff Judge Advocate, who will ensure that your efforts do not conflict with the ongoing NCIS investigation. You are also directed to coordinate your final report with the Staff Judge Advocate, prior to its submission to me.

4. [b](3):10 USC §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C) Chief of Military Justice, Office of the Staff Judge Advocate, is available to provide you with legal advice in connection with your inquiry. [b](3):10 USC §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C) may be contacted at extension [b](2).

HARRY B. HARRIS, JR.
Rear Admiral, U.S. Navy
Commander
MEMORANDUM FOR Commander, Joint Task Force - Guantanamo

SUBJECT: Request for Extension

1. The undersigned requests an additional 10 days to complete that AR 15-6 investigation to which I was appointed.

2. The undersigned requests this extension in order to continue to gather additional evidence.

3. My point of contact for this memorandum is the JTF-GTMO Chief of Military Justice, (b)(2).

(b)(3): 10 USC §130(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Investigating Officer
Subject: SHEETS/BLANKET REMOVAL AND ISSUE
Status: Released
Date: 10 JUN 2006 1358L
Release Date: 10 JUN 2006 1358L
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6).b(7)(C)
Message:
Keywords: SHEETS/BLANKETS

Subject: LOSS OF BLANKETS AND SHEETS
Status: Canceled
Date: 10 JUN 2006 1329L
Release Date: 10 JUN 2006 1329L
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC
Approved By: (b)(2)
Message:
Keywords: BLANKETS, SHEETS

Subject: ITEMS IN CELL
Status: Released
Date: 10 JUN 2006 0200L
Release Date: 10 JUN 2006 0200L
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6).b(7)(C)
Message:
Keywords:

Subject: Shredding Communal Detainee
Status: Released
Date: 06 JUN 2006 1357L
Message: (b)(2)
Subject: COMPLIANT DETAINEES
Status: Released
Date: 09 JUN 2006 1158L
Release Date: 09 JUN 2006 1158L
Author: [b](3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Message:
Per CJOG all Compliant DETAINEES regardless of where they are housed will be given 2 (two) opportunities to comply with guard instructions. The Block Guard will ask the detainee to comply and the detainee refuses guards orders the Block NCO will ask the detainee to comply, if the detainee does not comply after that point, the PL shall call away the FCE team to respond to the block if necessary to gain compliance.

Subject: DETAINEES in Camp 2/3
Status: Released
Date: 07 JUN 2006 1428L
Release Date: 07 JUN 2006 1428L
Author: [b](3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Message:
Per CJOG, all COMPLIANT detainees housed in Camps 2/3 will be given 2 (two) opportunities to comply with guard instructions. The block guard will ask the detainee to comply and if the detainee refuses guards orders the Block NCO will ask the detainee to comply. If the detainee does not comply after that point, the PL shall call away the FCE team to respond to the block.

Subject: SEARCHES
Status: Released
Date: 06 JUN 2006 1748L
Release Date: 06 JUN 2006 1748L
Author: [b](3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Message:
ALL CAMPS, CONTRABAND HAS BEEN FOUND HIDDEN INSIDE A DETAINEE'S PROSTHETIC LEG. WHEN CONDUCTING RANDOM CELL SEARCHES IF PROSTHESIS IS NOT IN USE THOROUGHLY SEARCH FOR CONTRABAND.

Subject: B.A.T.S PROCEDURES
Status: Released
Date: 04 JUN 2006 1011L
Release Date: 04 JUN 2006 1011L
Author: (b)(2)
Approved By: §130b(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Message:
All camps, Prosthetic legs have been found hidden inside a detainee's prosthetic leg. When conducting random cell searches if prosthetic is not in use, thoroughly search for contraband.
Detainee History

Subject: HIGH VIGILANCE DURING CODE
Status: Released
Date: 29MAY2006 1701L
Release Date: 29MAY2006 1701L
Author: (b)(2)
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: 

Subject: DETAINEE SEARCHES
Status: Released
Date: 26MAY2006 1143L
Release Date: 26MAY2006 1143L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: SEARCHES

Subject: UNCLASSIFIED SUMMARIES OF EVIDENCE & HABEAS MAIL
Status: Released
Date: 24MAY2006 1627L
Release Date: 24MAY2006 1627L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: 

Subject: CAMP 20 MOVES
Status: Released

Keywords: 

GTMO SJA 154
8/17/2006
Detainee History

Date: 24 MAY 2006 1304L
Release Date: 24 MAY 2006 1304L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:
Keywords: AMBULANCE, VAN

Subject: MISUSE OF BLANKET/SHEET
Status: Released
Date: 24 MAY 2006 1144L
Release Date: 24 MAY 2006 1144L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:
Keywords:

Subject: SEARCHES
Status: Released
Date: 22 MAY 2006 1628L
Release Date: 22 MAY 2006 1628L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:
Keywords:

Subject: CELL CLEANING
Status: Released
Date: 22 MAY 2006 1811L
Release Date: 22 MAY 2006 1811L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:
Keywords:

Subject: MEAL REFUSALS/VOMITTING
Status: Released
Date: 22 MAY 2006 1406L
Release Date: 22 MAY 2006 1406L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)
Message:
Keywords: (b)(2)
Subject: Refusal to Comply with Medical Request  
Status: Released  
Date: 21/MAY/2006 13:53L  
Release Date: 21/MAY/2006 13:53L  
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC  
Approved By: §130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(2)  
Message:  
Keywords: Refusal, Medical

Subject: CAMPANO/CIC  
Status: Released  
Date: 20/MAY/2006 21:35L  
Release Date: 20/MAY/2006 21:35L  
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC  
Approved By: §130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(2)  
Message:  
Keywords:

Subject: CAMPANO/CIC  
Status: Cancelled  
Date: 20/MAY/2006 17:31L  
Release Date: 20/MAY/2006 17:31L  
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC  
Approved By: §130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(2)  
Message:  
Keywords:

Subject: (b)(2) AND CODES  
Status: Released  
Date: 19/MAY/2006 19:08L  
Release Date: 19/MAY/2006 19:08L  
Author:  
Approved By:  
Message: (b)(2)  
Keywords:

Subject: RECREATION  
Status: Released  
Date: 19/MAY/2006 17:16L  
Release Date: 19/MAY/2006 17:16L  
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC  
Approved By: §130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(2)  
Message:  
Keywords:

Subject: RESUME REGULAR MEALS 20 MAY 2006  
(b)(2)
Detainee History

Subject: Released
Date: 18MAY2006 17:07L
Release Date: 19MAY2006 17:07L
Author: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Approved By: (b)(2)
Message:
Keywords:

Subject: CAMP (b)(2)
Status: Released
Date: 18MAY2006 07:30L
Release Date: 19MAY2006 07:30L
Author: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Approved By: (b)(2)
Message:
Keywords:

Subject: VISUALLY ENSURE INGESTION OF MEDS
Status: Released
Date: 18MAY2006 23:28L
Release Date: 19MAY2006 23:28L
Author: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Approved By: (b)(2)
Message:
Keywords: BLUE PILL

Subject: IGRC VISIT: ON BLOCK (b)(2)
Status: Released
Date: 18MAY2006 15:04L
Release Date: 19MAY2006 15:04L
Author: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Approved By: (b)(2)
Message:
Keywords:

Subject: Detainee Shower Procedures
Status: Released
Date: 18MAY2006 07:24L
Release Date: 19MAY2006 07:24L
Author: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Approved By: (b)(2)
Message:
Keywords:

Subject: Detainee Authorized/Unauthorized Activities
Status: Released
Date: 18MAY2006 01:33L
Author: (b)(2)
Approved By: (b)(2)
Message:
Keywords:
Subject: Detainee Shower and Shave Procedures
Status: Canceled
Date: 16 MAY 2006
Release Date: 16 MAY 2006
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
(b)(2)
Message:

Keywords:

Subject: DESTRUCTION OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
Status: Released
Date: 13 MAY 2006
Release Date: 13 MAY 2006
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
(b)(2)
Message:

Keywords: FENCE SCREEN

Subject: ATTENTION THROUGHOUT THE CAMP (BOX CUTTER)
Status: Released
Date: 13 MAY 2006
Release Date: 13 MAY 2006
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
(b)(2)
Message:

Keywords: BOX CUTTER

Subject: ATTENTION THROUGHOUT THE CAMP (BOX CUTTER)
Status: Canceled
Date: 12 MAY 2006
Release Date: 12 MAY 2006
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
(b)(2)
Message:
Detainee History

Subject: SHACKLING
Status: Released
Date: 12 MAY 2006
Release Date: 12 MAY 2006
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: SHACKLING, RESTRAINTS

Subject: GUARD STAFF COUNT
Status: Awaiting Approval
Date: 11 MAY 2006
Scheduled Release Date: 11 MAY 2006
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: GUARD STAFF COUNT

Subject: BLOCK SHUTTERS
Status: Released
Date: 10 MAY 2006
Release Date: 10 MAY 2006
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords:

Subject: MIST FANS
Status: Released
Date: 09 MAY 2006
Release Date: 09 MAY 2006
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: MIST FANS

Subject: DETAINEE SEWING CELL
Status: Released
Date: 06 MAY 2006
Release Date: 06 MAY 2006
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: DETAINEE SEWING CELL
Subject: 29-Weight
Status: Released
Date: 24APR2006 145CL
Release Date: 24APR2006 145CL
Author: §10 USC
Approved By: §10 USC

The following guidance will be used when entering detainee weight value: 1) Ensure block 29: Weight is used in the drop down 2) Ensure Sig Adj is checked 3) The only information needed is the numerical value of the weight, ex. 180.4) If detainee refuses, simply type the word "REFUSED"

Keywords: 29 Weight, DIMS Entry

Subject: STOP MOVEMENT
Status: Released
Date: 21APR2006 1117L
Release Date: 21APR2006 1117L
Author: §10 USC
Approved By: §10 USC

Keywords: STOP MOVEMENT

Subject: Contractor Vehicle Entering Camp Delta
Status: Released
Date: 21APR2006 0326L
Release Date: 21APR2006 0326L
Author: §10 USC
Approved By: §10 USC

Keywords: LIBERIANS ACCESSING THE CAMP

Subject: LIBERIANS ACCESSING THE CAMP

Keywords: LIBERIANS ACCESSING THE CAMP
Detainee History

Status: Released
Date: 15APR2006 1002L
Release Date: 15APR2006 1002L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Message: 

Keywords: CIVILIAN CONTRACT LIBERIANS

Subject: ADMIRAL ENTERING CAMP DELTA
Status: Released
Date: 11APR2006 1710L
Release Date: 11APR2006 1710L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Message: UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES IS THE ADMIRAL TO BE ASKED TO COVER HIS NAME WITH TAPE WHEN ENTERING ANY OF THE CAMPS.
Keywords: ADMIRAL

Subject: Destruction of Government Property
Status: Released
Date: 10APR2006 1822L
Release Date: 10APR2006 1822L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Message: 

Keywords:

Subject: DOC ACCESS
Status: Released
Date: 05APR2006 1133L
Release Date: 05APR2006 1133L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Message: 

Keywords: DOC ACCESS

Subject: REC TIME
Status: Released
Date: 05APR2006 1550L
Release Date: 05APR2006 1550L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Message: 

Keywords:

Subject: CONTACT/REC TIME
Status: Cancelled
Date: 05APR2006 1452L
Release Date: 05APR2006 1452L

(b)(2)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Release Date: 27MAR2006 1111L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved By:</td>
<td>(b)(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Detainee History**

- **Subject**: CAMP 2/3 CENTRAL REC.
- **Status**: Released
- **Date**: 24MAR2006 1919L
- **Release Date**: 24MAR2006 1919L
- **Author**: (b)(3):10 USC
- **Approved By**: §130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
- **Keywords**: DETAINEE SELF HARM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Release Date: 23MAR2006 2121L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved By:</td>
<td>(b)(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NEW ENTRY FIELDS TO DETAINEE NOTES**

- **Status**: Released
- **Date**: 23MAR2006 2121L
- **Release Date**: 23MAR2006 2121L
- **Author**: (b)(3):10 USC
- **Approved By**: §130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
- **Keywords**: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Release Date: 20MAR2006 1934L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved By:</td>
<td>(b)(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DETAINEE WEIGHT ENTRIES**

- **Date**: 20MAR2006 1934L
- **Release Date**: 20MAR2006 1934L
- **Author**: (b)(3):10 USC
- **Approved By**: §130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
- **Keywords**: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Release Date: 18MAR2006 1320L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved By:</td>
<td>(b)(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Subject**: Bottled Water
- **Status**: Released
- **Date**: 18MAR2006 1320L
- **Release Date**: 18MAR2006 1320L
- **Author**: (b)(3):10 USC
- **Approved By**: §130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Release Date</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Approved By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discipline/Segregation in-processing</td>
<td>Released</td>
<td>18 MAR 2006 1308L</td>
<td>18 MAR 2006 1308L</td>
<td>(b)(3): 10 USC</td>
<td>§130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detainee History Page 15</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Release Date</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Approved By</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Released</td>
<td>18 MAR 2006 1249L</td>
<td>18 MAR 2006 1249L</td>
<td>(b)(3): 10 USC</td>
<td>§130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approving DOCs</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Release Date</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Approved By</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Released</td>
<td>18 MAR 2006 1249L</td>
<td>18 MAR 2006 1249L</td>
<td>(b)(3): 10 USC</td>
<td>§130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject: MOVING DETAINEE ON (b)(2) VIA GATOR</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Release Date</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Approved By</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Released</td>
<td>16 MAR 2006 1243L</td>
<td>16 MAR 2006 1243L</td>
<td>(b)(3): 10 USC</td>
<td>§130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject: Addendum to Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) for Gators</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
<td>(b)(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subject: ISSUING DETAINEE LAUNDRY BAGS
Status: Released
Date: 10MAR2006 08:11L
Release Date: 10MAR2006 08:11L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6).(b)(7)(C)

Message:

Keywords: LAUNDRY BAGS

Subject: ICRC/RED CROSS VISIT
Status: Released
Date: 10MAR2006 07:58L
Release Date: 10MAR2006 07:58L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6).(b)(7)(C)

Message:

Keywords: ICRC/RED CROSS

Subject: HABEAS APPOINTMENTS
Status: Released
Date: 06MAR2006 14:48L
Release Date: 06MAR2006 14:48L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6).(b)(7)(C)

Message:

Keywords: HABEAS APPOINTMENTS

[(b)(2)]
Subject: EXERCISE EQUIPMENT CAMP'S 
Status: Released
Date: 06MAR2006 1436L
Release Date: 06MAR2006 1436L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: EXERCISE EQUIPMENT

Subject: STAB VEST
Status: Released
Date: 06MAR2006 1345L
Release Date: 06MAR2006 1345L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: STAB VEST

Subject: 508 APPROVAL
Status: Released
Date: 04MAR2006 1334L
Release Date: 04MAR2006 1334L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords:

Subject: 508 ON SEG OR DISCIPLINE BLOCKS
Status: Released
Date: 04MAR2006 1333L
Release Date: 04MAR2006 1333L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: 508 SEG & DISCIPLINE

Subject: DETAINEE BAIL CARDS
Status: Released
Date: 04MAR2006 1324L
Release Date: 04MAR2006 1324L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: (b)(2)
Subject: DETAINEE MAIL
Status: Released
Date: 04MAR2006 1307L
Release Date: 04MAR2006 1307L
Approved By:
§130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: DETAINEE MAIL

Subject: DETAINEE MAIL
Status: Canceled
Date: 04MAR2006 1051L
Release Date: 04MAR2006 1051L
Approved By:
§130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: DETAINEE MAIL

Subject: GATORS
Status: Released
Date: 04MAR2006 0938L
Release Date: 04MAR2006 0938L
Approved By:
§130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:
EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY ALL PERSONNEL OPERATING GATORS WILL RAISE AND LOWER THE BED ONLY WHILE ENGINE IS RUNNING

Keywords:

Subject: SJA APPROVAL
Status: Released
Date: 02MAR2006 1507L
Release Date: 02MAR2006 1507L
Approved By:
§130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:
§130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Keywords: Toilet Paper

Subject: Toilet Paper
Status:
Date:
Release Date:
Approved By:
§130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords:
Subject: DETAINEE UNDERGARMENTS
Status: Released
Date: 02MAR2006 1505L
Release Date: 02MAR2006 1505L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Approved By: (b)(2)
Message: (b)(2)
Keywords: 

Subject: TALKING TO DEFENSE ATTORNEYS
Status: Released
Date: 02MAR2006 1456L
Release Date: 02MAR2006 1456L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Approved By: (b)(2)
Message: 
Keywords: DEFENSE ATTORNEYS

Subject: TALKING TO DEFENSE ATTORNEYS
Status: Cancelled
Date: 02MAR2006 1454L
Release Date: 02MAR2006 1454L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Approved By: (b)(2)
Message: 
Keywords: DEFENSE ATTORNEYS

Subject: TOILET PAPER
Status: Cancelled
Date: 02MAR2006 1106L
Release Date: 02MAR2006 1106L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Approved By: (b)(2)
Message: 
Keywords: TOILET PAPER

Subject: SHUTTERS
Status: Released
Date: 02MAR2006 1105L
Release Date: 02MAR2006 1105L
(b)(2)
Subject: Shelters
Status: Released
Date: 02MAR2006 1020L
Release Date: 02MAR2006 1020L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: (b)(2)
Keywords: Shelters

Subject: ISSUING TOILET PAPER TO SEG DETAINES
Status: Cancelled
Date: 01MAR2006 1210L
Release Date: 01MAR2006 1210L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: (b)(2)
Keywords:

Subject: Shelters
Status: Released
Date: 28FEB2006 1637L
Release Date: 28FEB2006 1637L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: (b)(2)
Keywords:

Subject: OPSEC
Status: Released
Date: 28FEB2006 1025L
Release Date: 28FEB2006 1025L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: (b)(2)
Keywords: OPSEC

Subject: Detainee Release of appointment
Status: Released
Date: 28FEB2006 0556L
Release Date: 28FEB2006 0556L
Message: (b)(2)
As per CJOG, the night DHF personnel assigned to the R&U will conduct dust control of camp Delta. The R&U has a portable sprinkler system that sits in the back of a gator. The gator will drive from Camp 4 to Camp 2/3 back and forth covering all of the high traffic areas with a layer of water to help control the dust. The Escort Control will ensure this process takes place every night starting the 27th of February 2006.
Detainee History

Subject: 998 Procedure
Status: Released
Date: 20FEB2006 1108L
Release Date: 20FEB2006 1108L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Message:

Keywords:

Subject: 998 Procedure
Status: Released
Date: 20FEB2006 1108L
Release Date: 20FEB2006 1108L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Message:

Keywords:

Subject: 998 Other Ate is replaced by 04 DOI Ate
Status: Released
Date: 17FEB2006 2009L
Release Date: 17FEB2006 2009L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Message:

Keywords:

Subject: STOP USING 99 Other Ate
Status: Cancelled
Date: 17FEB2006 2001L
Release Date: 17FEB2006 2001L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Message:

Keywords:

Subject:
Status: Awaiting Approval
Date: 17FEB2006 1006L
Scheduled Release Date: 17FEB2006 1006L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Message:

Keywords:
Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 24 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 23 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 22 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 21 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 20 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 19 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 18 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 17 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 16 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 15 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 14 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 13 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 12 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 11 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 10 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 9 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 8 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 7 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 6 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 5 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 4 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 3 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 2 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:

Subject: Detainee 1-history Page 1 of 34

Status: Released
Date: 31JAN2006 1040L
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: C:

Keywords:
Subject: HUNGER STRIKER REINTEGRATION
Status: Released
Date: 16 JAN 2006 1836L
Release Date: 16 JAN 2006 1836L
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC §130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Approved By: (b)(2), (b)(3): 10 USC §130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Message:

Keywords:
### Detainee History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Release Data</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Approved By</th>
<th>Keywords</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>89 OTHER &quot;ATE&quot; HUNGER STRIKER TRACKING</td>
<td>16 JAN 2006 1551L</td>
<td>Released</td>
<td>(b)(3): 10 USC</td>
<td>§130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)</td>
<td>HUNGER STRIKER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detainee's on (b)(2) block</td>
<td>14 JAN 2006 2234L</td>
<td>Released</td>
<td>(b)(3): 10 USC</td>
<td>§130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)</td>
<td>Hunger strikers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUNGER STRIKE DATA ENTRIES</td>
<td>14 JAN 2006 1536L</td>
<td>Released</td>
<td>(b)(3): 10 USC</td>
<td>§130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)</td>
<td>HUNGER STRIKE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://jpdsvrldm1/sec/GuardMessages.aspx

GTMO SJA 175
8/17/2006
Subject: HUNGER STRIKE ENTRIES
Status: Canceled
Date: 14 JAN 2008 1528L
Release Date: 14 JAN 2008 1526L
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC
Approved By: §130b, (b)(6)/(b)(7)/(C)
Message: (b)(2)
Keywords: DETAINEE ENTRIES

Subject: FEMALES AND STAB RESISTANT VESTS
Status: Released
Date: 12 JAN 2008 1842L
Release Date: 12 JAN 2008 1542L
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC
Approved By: §130b, (b)(6)/(b)(7)/(C)
Message: (b)(2)
Keywords:

Subject: NEW DETAINEE TRANSPORT PROCEDURES
Status: Released
Date: 11 JAN 2008 1607L
Release Date: 11 JAN 2008 1507L
Author: (b)(3): 10 USC
Approved By: §130b, (b)(6)/(b)(7)/(C)
Message: (b)(2)
Keywords: GATOR Transportion

Subject: Detainee Shower Procedures
Status: Released
Subj: FEASTING MEAL
Status: Released
Date: 09JAN2006 1043L
Release Date: 09JAN2006 1043L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: (130b),(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: (b)(2)

 Keywords: DETAINEE FLU SHOTS
Keywords:

Subject: TEMP. STOP MOVEMENT FOR COMMISSIONS MOVES
Status: Released
Date: 09JAN2006 1330L
Release Date: 09JAN2006 1330L
Author: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: Commission movements

Subject: HOLIDAY FASTING
Status: Released
Date: 08JAN2006 1428L
Release Date: 08JAN2006 1428L
Author: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: FASTING

Subject: HOLIDAY FASTING
Status: Cancelled
Date: 08JAN2006 1331L
Release Date: 08JAN2006 1331L
Author: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: FASTING

Subject: GTMO FORM 608 DETAINEE REPORT
Status: Released
Date: 08JAN2006 1047L
Release Date: 08JAN2006 1047L
Author: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Approved By: §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message:

Keywords: 
Subject: MISSED MEALS
Status: Cancelled
Date: 15DEC2005 1721L
Release Date: 15DEC2005 1721L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC §130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Approved By: (b)(2)

Message:

Keywords: MISSED MEALS, HUNGER STRIKE, COMFORT ITEM REMOVAL

Subject: FEEDING AT CAMP
Status: Released
Date: 15DEC2005 1228L
Release Date: 15DEC2005 1228L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC §130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Approved By: (b)(2)

Message:

Keywords: FEEDING, ECHO

Subject: MOVEMENT TO CAMP
Status: Released
Date: 15DEC2005 1117L
**Delainee**

**Hi~torv**, Page 32 of 34

**Movement**

**Subject:** ESCORTED PERSONNEL
**Status:** Released
**Date:** 14DEC2005 16:48L
**Release Date:** 14DEC2005 16:48L
**Author:** (b)(3): 10 USC
**Approved By:** §130b.(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
**Message:**

**Keywords:** ESCORTED PERSONNEL

---

**Subject:** PUNISHMENT
**Status:** Released
**Date:** 13DEC2005 13:22L
**Release Date:** 13DEC2005 13:22L
**Author:** (b)(3): 10 USC
**Approved By:** §130b.(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
**Message:**

**Keywords:** PUNISHMENT

---

**Subject:** CMBT CAMRA
**Status:** Released
**Date:** 12DEC2005 15:05L
**Release Date:** 12DEC2005 15:05L
**Author:** (b)(3): 10 USC
**Approved By:** §130b.(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
**Message:**

**Keywords:** CMBT CAMRA

---

**Subject:** PRAYR BENDS
**Status:** Released
**Date:** 12DEC2005 15:29L

**Keywords:** PRAYR BENDS
Subj: BASE AMBULANCE
Status: Released
Date: 12DEC2005 1026L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: WHEN A NAV HOSPITAL AMBULANCE IS NEEDED FOR ANYONE IN THE WIRE, YOU NEED TO CALL THE NAVHOSP EMERGENCY ROOM X(b)(2).
Keywords: AMBULANCE

Subj: BODILY FLUID CLEANUP
Status: Released
Date: 12DEC2005 1026L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: (b)(2)
Keywords: BODILY FLUID CLEANUP

Subj: GROIN SEARCHES
Status: Released
Date: 08DEC2005 1511L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: (b)(2)
Keywords: SEARCHES

Subj: GROIN SEARCHES
Status: Cancelled
Date: 08DEC2005 1500L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Message: (b)(2)
Keywords: SEARCHES

Subj: 3 POINT RESTRAINTS
Status: Released
Date: 08DEC2005 1449L
Message: (b)(2)
Keywords:
Subject: FOOD
Status: Released
Date: 08DEC2005 1448L
Release Date: 08DEC2005 1448L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Message: (b)(2)
Keywords: (b)(2)

Subject: DETAINEE COVERING HEAD WITH SHEET
Status: Released
Date: 07DEC2005 1103L
Release Date: 07DEC2005 1103L
Author: (b)(3):10 USC
Approved By: §130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Message: (b)(2)
Keywords: COVERING HEAD
Interview Summary


On 17 August 2006, I spoke with regarding the use of memoranda to modify the December 2005 SOP. Further stated that no memoranda were used to modify the December 2005 SOP. Further stated that Guard Mount Messages were the only documents used by JDG to modify the December 2005 SOP.

(b)(3):10 USC §130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authorized/Unauthorized Activities</th>
<th>Compliant</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Segregation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(b)(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold water after exercise</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subject: FW: AUTHORIZED

Sir,

As you can see below, the date and time and who it all went out to (camp leadership).

Alcon,

The attached document is the latest approved authorized/unauthorized activities list for detainees. I will send out a guardmount message stating this is the latest document to use. If you will notice, it is dated 12 Mar 06 and this should be the only one all guards use. Due to the type of document, I cannot attach to the dims computer for a guardmount. With that being said, please distribute to your personnel, and when we update the SOP this will take the place of the one currently in the SOP. If you have questions, please give me a call.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Interview, 11 August 2006, 1(b)(3):10 USC §130h(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a.) Interview, 11 August 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b.) Record of Enlisted Counseling, 5 June 2006 (first)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c.) Record of Enlisted Counseling, 5 June 2006 (second)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(d.) Record of Enlisted Counseling, 27 April 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(U) Interview of 23 June 2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TAB E -- (U) Other Camp 1 Personnel, Night of 9/10 Jun 2006**

1. (U) Statement, 14 August 2006, 1(b)(3):10 USC §130h(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
2. (U) Statement, 14 August 2006, 1(b)(3):10 USC §130h(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
5. (U) Interview of 23 June 2006, 1(b)(3):10 USC §130h(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)
Sir, here is the information that you wanted me to get for you. I did not find any email that was sent to me from the OIC or AOIC. But I'm still looking for you.

V/R,

[Signature]

---

How low will we go? Check out Yahoo! Messenger's low PC-to-Phone call rates.
STGC Statement
Place: Camp America, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba
Date: 14 August 2006

I make the following free and voluntary statement to Col whom I know to be appointed by RADM Harry B. Harris, Jr., to conduct an informal investigation into whether (1) the Camp Delta SOP was followed by relevant Joint Detention Group personnel during the overnight hours of 9-10 June 2006; and, (2) if the SOP was not followed, whether this failure contributed to the ability of the detainees to commit suicide on that night. I make this statement of my own free will and without any threats made to me or promises extended. I fully understand that this statement is given concerning my knowledge of the death of three detainees in Alpha Block.

My assignment at JTF-GTMO is Platoon Leader for the Camp 1 Detention Facility. The Camp 1 Detention Facility includes Blocks. My duties include Good order and discipline, as well as fair firm and impartial treatment of the detainees. My chain of command on the night of 9-10 June 2006, beginning with

When did you arrive at Camp 1 and what were your billets there?
Arrived 20 Sept 2005. Had left seat/right seat training for 4 days, was on blocks in late September early October. Billet as a Platoon Leader for Camp 1.

What sort of guidance were you given by your chain of command regarding enforcement of rules and command philosophy?
Fair firm and impartial treatment of the detainees, follow the SOP. Learn to say yes, this is for the sailors. But that was not so! We could not say yes because it was all about the Detainees not the sailors. We were catering to the detainees because we could not do our job. What I mean is that the upper change of command was suppose to walk the blocks everyday and they would answer question that the detainees would ask them. And guards would tell them one thing and the upper chain of command would tell them it was ok.

Why is Camp 1 called compliant? It doesn’t sound compliant.
What were the procedures for chain of command to pass word about new policy?

Change was a common thing for camp 1. The SOP was a living document that was changing all the time. I remember at one of the Battle Update Brief (BUB) that the COL said that the SOP was a guideline to follow. I was not there was not in the BUB that day. So when she had the BUB and the COL was not there. She said that we would follow the SOP to the letter, the SOP was law.

What are some examples of things that were against the SOP that detainees kept pushing for and eventually received?

What are some things done in Camp 1 that weren't really SOP?

Usually, they would tell us to give detainees something and later it would be follow up in a guard mount message later on. It was getting harder for the guards to keep track of all of the things that the detainees could have in their cells and above their cell too.

Did anyone in your chain of command ever direct you to do something not in SOP?

What are the procedures for a random headcount?

Did guards have to sight in random headcounts?

We did not harass them. At night, I would walk the block; I would wait to see. If I couldn't see... I would ask the guard a few questions regarding the detainee...
in question then I would call out his ISN out. They would tell me to come back and see them later on and they will talk to me.

**Have you heard of gun-decking the random headcounts?**

Yes, I enforced visual inspection during random headcounts by going into tower. **(b)(2)** on some blocks, you could see almost the entire tier. If guards didn't walk tier, check each cell, he would confront Block NCO. By calling him out to see me or go on the block and take him in the guardhouse.

**What were the major issues affecting guard morale in Camp 1?**

The biggest problem for morale was the constant change of procedures. I remember one **(b)(2)** detainees wanted some too. Another problem was that detainees would get something from their interrogators and it would not be in DIMS so the guard would take it away from him. The next day or a few days later the detainee would have it back. If an NCO would make a call and say that the detainee could not talk to the SOG, PL or OIC for something they could handle by themselves, then when the OIC came on the block the detainee would talk to OIC or AOIC to get what they wanted.

**Have you worked with the guard team on A Block?** Yes, they have all worked for me.


They are all good workers. I did not have any problems from them as far as I remember.
Place: Camp America, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba
Date: 14 August 2006

I, [b](3):10 USC § 130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C), make the following free and voluntary statement to Col. [b](3): (b)(3):10 whom I know to be appointed by RADM Harry B. Harris, Jr., to conduct an informal investigation into whether (1) the Camp Delta SOP was followed by relevant Joint Detention Group personnel during the overnight hours of 9-10 June 2006; and, (2) if the SOP was not followed, whether this failure contributed to the ability of the detainees to commit suicide on that night. I make this statement of my own free will and without any threats made to me or promises extended. I fully understand that this statement is given concerning my knowledge of the death of three detainees in Alpha Block.

My assignment at JTF-GTMO is Platoon Leader for the Camp 1 Detention Facility. The Camp 1 Detention Facility includes Blocks [b](2). My duties include:

- Supervises 72 guard force personnel in the day-to-day operations of detention cellblocks.
- Administers fair, firm, and impartial enforcement of all Camp Delta rules and regulations.
- My chain of command on the night of 9-10 June 2006, beginning with COL [b](3):10 was not in the camp during this time frame; my chain of command was [b](3):10 USC § 130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C).

How long did you work in Camp 1? 10 days.

When you arrived in Camp 1, who trained you as Platoon Leader?

[b](2) right seat left seat for two days. I was already qualified as PL in camp 4.

What sort of guidance were you given by your chain of command regarding enforcement of rules?

I did not meet with the OIC when reporting in. OIC didn't give me commander's philosophy, or any other guidance. The only guidance I received was from the PI's and AOIC. It was explained to me that the detainees in camp 1 were compliant and the SOP was to be used as a guideline. It was also stated that the SOP was a living document that constantly changed.

What are the procedures for a random headcount?

[b](2)

Did guards have to sight [b](2) in random headcounts?
No. The headcount required physical sighting of the detainees. In camps 1 and 4 the guards would not wake up the detainees like they did in 2/3.

What were the rules on hanging things in cells?

Mostly by word of mouth given to the PL.

What sort of guidance did you give your Camp 1 guards about enforcing the SOPs?

I emphasized strict enforcement of the SOP and reminded the Guards that if it was not in the SOP or a Guard Mount message or a verbal order given by the AOIC or above then it was wrong.

What was compliant about detainees in Camp 1?

I don’t feel the detainees were compliant, I think they complied with the rewards or extra benefits given them.

Did the chain of command above you back up your efforts to enforce SOPs?

Most of the time, but while I was working in Camp 1, I was questioned why the camp seemed to be in an uproar when I was working.

Did guards complain about SOP not being enforced consistently? Yes

It was obvious when I instructed the guards at guard mount to adhere strictly to the SOP that they had a lot of questions and concerns about how the camp had been operating. There was some resistance to my direction. When I insisted to complete thorough cell searches a lot of contraband was confiscated. Guards would confiscate and other contraband items that weren’t registered in DIMS, OIC or AOIC would tell the next shift to give them back. Examples: 1.) one time guards found TU-061 with fourteen water bottles—how could he collect so many, especially if the rule was(b)(2)

This violated SOP, but guards weren’t allowed to take the garment off the detainee; they were told it would cause too much trouble. 2.) Detainees transferred to Camp 1 from Camp 4 brought with them large prayer rugs, (b)(2)

I authorized confiscation because according to DIMS, there was no authorization for them(b)(2), On the next shift, the OIC or AOIC would have the rugs returned. 4.) (b)(2) A detainee had a second one made from a re-sewn trouser leg. Guard said “Which do you
Have you worked with the guard team on A Block? Assessment?

I worked with him in Camp 4. He is a good SOG. I could count on him to enforce rules.

I was in my platoon during pre-service training. He is a good sailor.

I counseled him twice for being late to work. He's not energetic, not enthusiastic, and basically not a good Sailor.

Currently works in my Camp. She always has a sharp military appearance and enthusiastic about all tasks given. So far I can count on her to complete all tasks given in a timely manner. My opinion a good Sailor.

What were the biggest morale challenges in Camp ?

The guards not being allowed to enforce the SOP. We couldn't enforce the SOP. When a block guard tells a detainee "No," we should back him up. This would prevent detainees from going up the chain of command. The detainees knew that when they didn't get the answer they wanted all they had to do was request the next higher person in the chain of command until they received the answer they wanted. This would undermine the authority of the block guards.
Interview of [b](3):10 USC
Place: Camp America, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba
Date: 11 August 2006

How long have you worked on in Camp 1? When you arrived in Camp 1, what sort of training did you get as Platoon Leader? Who trained you?

He reported on 20 Sept 2005 and began training under a qualified platoon leader, working from the checklist called a Job Qualification Requirement, which lists all the duties required for the PLs. In training he stood watches both day and night. After completing the JQR under supervision of another CPO, he went to work. There was no interview with an officer after completion. JQRs are routed through the chain of command: 1st Sgt (LCPO), AOIC, OIC, then filed in Bldg 3. All billets from Sergeant of Guard down to Block Guard have same process of training by a qualified watchstander in that billet.

Do detainees behave differently on day and night shifts?

Hard to say; they act as they want; after midnight, they’re mostly quiet.

What sort of guidance were you given by your chain of command regarding enforcement of rules?

[b](3):10 received no brief by chain of command regarding commander’s philosophy. The first OIC (b)(3):10 USC let the chiefs run the camp, and would brief the chiefs on only the extraordinary stuff. (b)(3):10 had been here a couple weeks, trusted CPOs; basically, the OIC just made sure the JQR was completed.

OIC/AOIC held weekly meetings with CPOs, sometimes even would hold them on non-scheduled days, and typically on (b)(2).

Changes happen almost every hour as guards adapt to detainees; the SOGs and PLs are the focal points of those changes, and they talk frequently at evening turnovers to ensure things get passed down.

When officers walk through, they change things; when not in the SOP or in GMMs, those changes are inconsistent. For example, in Camp 1, they allowed detainees to keep (b)(2) which then contributed to the rodent infestation. Then the rule was (b)(2). Detainees then put juice in water bottles and apples into the sealed containers. (b)(2)

Problem is, officers don’t always pass changes down to all PLs, so days later detainees want to know what happened. Difficult to tell whether detainees are trying to manipulate guards because PLs also have different levels of enforcement.
c.f. question on hanging stuff below.

Example of change without written guidance: (b)(2)

(b)(2)

What are the procedures for a random headcount? Did guards have to sight skin or movement in random headcounts?

(b)(2)

What were the rules on hanging things in cells?

(b)(2)

Do you recall specific examples of complaints to Platoon Leaders about hanging things in cells?

Do you recall an officer giving training on random headcount procedures at guard mounts in the weeks before 9-10 June, and do you recall the substance of those procedures?

What sort of guidance did you give your Camp 1 guards about enforcing the SOPs? Did you ever find it necessary to tell guards to ease up on enforcement?

Guards sometimes complained that block NCOs were doing what they’re supposed to; two guards approached [b][3]:1 but wouldn’t say who the Block NCO was, so he addressed all of them. Some guards say Block NCOs do small things like exchanging meals to prevent an uproar; [b][3]:10 would tell the Block NCOs to stick to SOP, but if not to let him know.

What’s the problem with an uproar? Why try to avoid it?

Usually guards don’t try to stop an uproar. Instead they close shutters. But an uproar can be followed by a detainee assault on a guard, and the guards are affected by the yelling and cursing.
In Camp 1, if detainees recognize guards who have been enforcing the rules on a discipline block, they'll harass the guards.

*If there are a lot of uproars, does it affect your performance evaluation?*

Not necessarily. When uproar starts, PLs notify the DOC and the chain of command. The OIC then asks what happened, what actions did PL take?

*Camp 1 is called a compliant camp, but none of this sounds very compliant, so why?*

OICs sometimes go in to talk to detainees, who then give the OICs a long list of complaints. OIC will say he'll try to do something, but detainees have selective hearing. OICs don't need to do that: if an OIC talks once to detainees, the block expects to talk to them constantly, which takes authority from PLs.

CPOs have discouraged OICs from speaking to detainees, but OICs still do it. For example, when exercise machines were put in, detainees would take hardware, so guards then would do cell searches. A detainee requested that guards take out the machine, or else detainees would break it. Chain of command came in, took detainee out into recreation yard: OIC, 2 AOICs, and cultural advisor [b][3] all sitting down with the detainee, who only had his legs locked. They were trying to keep detainees quiet.

Have you worked with the guard team on A Block? [b][3]:10 USC §130b.(b)(6).(b)(7)(C)

Did any of those ever complain about procedures to be followed in camp.
MA2 ☼

(b)(3):10 USC

Interview
11 August 2006

(b)(3):10 requested to move out of Camp 1 around 6 June, b/c it was corrupt and out of control. (b)(3):10 stated that the E-6s and up hated her b/c she was an outspoken critic. After C Company left, there were major safety issues, & when she put them in DIMS, some of her DIMS entries were being erased by PLs and SOGs. No complaints from blocks get up past Ops Chief, Chief

Specific instance when she thinks someone erased her DIMS entries concerned six detainees in Big Rec yard, door open, detainees between guard and door.

People careless, not following SOP: Block NCOs will walk a detainee down tier by themselves.

Following, (b)(3):10 USC talk to troops, (b)(3):10 requested mast to talk to (b)(3):10. She then was put in the sally port for extended period as retribution, supposedly by CO. (b)(3):10 According to AOIC (b)(3):10 USC said he was seeing number in DIMS too often, said he recommended you get a break. (b)(3):10 came through the sally, denied having put her in sallies for so long. (b)(3):10 wanted to know why (b)(3):10 never spoke to (b)(3):10 about problems. Hanif wanted to know why (b)(3):10 had waited to speak up.

(b)(3):10 confiscated a prayer cap made out of a pants leg; SOG gave it back. (b)(3):10 wrote up DIMS entry, which was erased before end of shift.

(b)(3):10 had never been written up in 8 years in Navy; week before suicide was written up for not asking up the chain of command to turn on block’s fans. Counseled on orders of (b)(3):10 USC said the guy who hung himself had extra sheets; after she spoke to (b)(3):10 was written up for confiscating sheet taken in bean hole search. Written up for bean holes also. First class POs & Chiefs tell people (b)(3):10 is a dime dropper.

(b)(3):10 said she was prevented from confiscating items from detainees if the cell already had been searched. But people were gun making cell searches. (b)(3):10 goes through boxes over cells, confiscates contraband. (b)(2) had several hundred sheets of paper, which (b)(3):10 took. If other guards are searching properly, why has she found razor blades, needles, spork shank.

Guards were giving detainees coffee. [see 27 April PI. log entry on Sanka] (b)(3):10 caught a seaman buying Starburst candy to give to whole block; she pulled seaman aside, counseled. 333/337 were harassing guards for dip, got it from escort team. The escort team guards responsible were reported, but weren’t written up, & instead were promoted. (b)(3):10 would ask detainee block leaders what they wanted from NEX. (b)(3):10 put bag of ice on (b)(2) wrist after he complained of called off an IRP in Camp 1.
Detainees can get block guards pulled off block. Detainees chant “Monkey bitch whore” at her. Chiefs told she was agitating detainees; chiefs just want to keep blocks quiet. Chief in front of other guards & detainees about dropping blue box on deck, saying the admiral had said not to do it. An SOG told that what admiral actually said was don’t drag restraints along tier; said you can’t place blue box softly on deck & still keep eye on detainee. said E5 & below can’t check rules, emails for themselves b/c E5 & below don’t have NIPR accounts.

E block DIMS entry: Block NCO wrote 7 detainees were authorized soccer shoes per SOG. was bragging about how he “got hookup” to get black soccer shoes for detainees; asked when was the last time you hooked up guards to half a day off?

Many fraternization problems. Chiefs playing cards with seamen. Ranks all mix together at clubs, approved marriages between E4 & E6, E5 & E2. MA1

Getting hit with crap is OK; real reason people are stressed is that chain of command won’t support us. said compare DIMS entries for the week Chief was in Camp 1; he enforced SOP strictly, guard morale soared—they actually RFed someone; guards working later, looking forward to come to work. By contrast, in the AARs after watch, guards are getting counseled on not asking detainees multiple times to comply.

she’d be UA or SIQ if she had to stay in Camp 1 with chiefs riding her; wanted to transfer to Camp 2/3, told CMC she’d do anything else, pick up trash.

The Chief of Investigations book with discrepancies she had noted; gets retribution from guards. Example: & partner were walking a detainee down tier, partner walked off and left by herself with detainee.
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(2),(b)(3); 10 USC §130b, (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130b, (b)(5),(b)(7)(C)
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
I do not desire to make a statement.

Operations Supervisor: ____________________  Chief Master-at-Arms: ____________________

Assistant Security Division Officer: ________________  EMI Approved for ________ hours.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Inspect</th>
<th>Inventory</th>
<th>Account</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(b)(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UNCLASSIFIED/ FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Stuff</th>
<th>Block NCO</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>DTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

previous editions of this form are obsolete
(b)(2), (b)(3): 10 USC §130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130b, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130b,(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130b, (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
SECOND INTERVIEW OF

1. (U) On 23JUN06, reporting agent (RA) interviewed civilian, in order to obtain information regarding detainee chanting that took place the Alpha Block of Camp 1 at Camp Delta, Joint Task Force, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (JTF-GTMO). civilian was previously interviewed by RA on 19JUN06 regarding his knowledge of the three detainee deaths that occurred in Alpha Block, during the early morning hours of 10JUN06. civilian was not at the Camp at the time the three detainees were discovered, and did not provide information of evidentiary value during the 19JUN06 interview.

2. (U) The 23JUN06, interview took place in the NCISRA Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. civilian advised that he works as an Arabic linguist in the cellblocks at Camp Delta. civilian is a civilian employee of SOS, which is a division of Titan Corporation. On 09JUN06, worked the 1500 to 2300 shift. 09JUN06 was the first Friday that had worked the evening shift. While was on duty, guards (NP1) called the linguist office and asked for an interpreter to translate detainee chanting taking place in Camp 1. According to the Cellblock Linguist Daily Activity Report Form filled out by civilian, he left the linguist office at 20:33 to listen to the chanting, and signed back in to the office at 20:40. Enclosure (A) pertains.

3. (U) civilian stated that he stood inside the main entrance to Camp 1 and listened to the chanting for approximately two minutes. civilian advised that the chanting was coming from the side of the Camp 1 in which Alpha block is located. civilian stated that the chanting was loud, but that the only word he made out from the chanting was the word he spelled out as "yahotid." civilian said that he had never heard this word before and did not know the meaning of the word. civilian thought the word might be Pusht, Parsi or a combination of languages. After listening to the chanting, returned to the linguist trailer and asked one of the other interpreters on duty, if it was normal for the detainees to chant in this manner. The other interpreter, an Arabic linguist named civilian that on Friday nights the detainees often sing after night prayer, and noted they were probably chanting their "religious stuff." civilian step outside to listen to the chanting, but it stopped before civilian could hear it. civilian also attempted to look up the word "yahotid" in the Arabic book, but said it did not appear in the book.

4. (U) civilian said it did not occur his mind that the chanting meant something bad. DECLINED stated that he thought the detainees were "enjoying themselves."
SUBJ: V/AL DARRANT, YASSER TALALI/CIV
U.S. NAVAL CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE

Just chanting on a religious topic or "maybe just having fun or chanting a praise together". (b)(3):10 noted that Muslims often chant using special times like Ramadan or at times when people gather together. He also pointed out that Muslims chant when somebody dies.

5. (U) (b)(3):10 is available to answer additional questions upon request.

FOCLOSURE(S)
- All (U): Copy of the Cellblock Linguist Daily Activity Report Form filled out by BELLOZI/0906/08.

REPORTED BY: (b)(3):10 USC
OFFICE: NAISEC WASHINGTON DC
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>TIME RTN</th>
<th>LANG</th>
<th>BLK/CELL</th>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>ISN</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15:26</td>
<td>16:04</td>
<td>A/D</td>
<td></td>
<td>RSY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:09</td>
<td>18:25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:33</td>
<td>20:40</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>OT</td>
<td></td>
<td>The guard went to know what the detainees are saying. The detainees were chanting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. (FOUO) Linguist OL-26 Interview Summary, 17 August 2006

2. (FOUO) 5:10 USN
   a. (U) Interview Summary, 21 August 2006
   b. (U) Email, 21 August 2006, 0740
   c. (U) Email, 21 August 2006, 0908

3. (FOUO) 5:10 USN
   a. (U) Summary of Interview, 15 August 2006
   c. (U) Resume, downloaded 14 August 2004
   d. (U) Publications, downloaded 14 August 2004
On 17 August 2006, I had a telephone conversation with OL-26, a civilian contract linguist employed at Guantanamo Bay by Titan Corporation. I am acquainted with OL-26 because I have worked with that linguist in Guantanamo Bay at the Office for the Administrative Review of the Detention of Enemy Combatants.

I asked OL-26 to translate the word I spell phonetically as ya-hoo-DE. Variants of this word have been mentioned in statements attached to my report. These include:

"Yahoo Day" and "yahoo day" in Naval Criminal Investigative Service Report of Investigation (Interim) dated 20 June 2006, exhibit 18; and


OL-26 stated that the word I spell phonetically as ya-hoo-DE means Jewish or Jew.
Interview Summary


On 21 August 2006, I spoke with (b)(3):10 USC §130b.(b)(6).(b)(7)(C) regarding the ambient light available on the night of 9 June 2006. After some research, (b)(3):10 USC §130b.(b)(6).(b)(7)(C) told me that on 9 June, moonrise at Guantanamo Bay was at 1755 local, with 93% illumination, and moonset was at 0503 local on 10 June 2006.

(b)(3):10 USC §130b.(b)(6).(b)(7)(C) further told me that on the night of 9 June 2006, the sky cover would have blocked the moon so effectively that little moonlight would have been visible from the ground at Guantanamo Bay. The overall reported sky cover for Guantanamo Bay between 2100 of 9 June 2006 and 0200 of 10 June 2006 was 7/8, which is close to completely overcast.
Hmp. I *did* save the file back in June, so I *don't* need their website.

09 June, moonrise 1755 local, 93% illumination. Moonset 0503 local 10 June.
10 June, moonrise 1856 local, 97% illumination. Moonset 0555 local 11 June.

Given that the program assesses % illumination at 0000Z, which is 2000L, I'd say that midnight of 09/10 June had 95% illumination.

Actual full moon was 11 June.

Cheers!

I have an RFI that a really top-notch METOC officer probably could answer with just a little mental arithmetic: for the night of 9/10 June, what was the amount of moonlight available here at Gitmo from 2200 to 0100?

If you can answer this one, you'll have the thanks of a grateful nation!

Cheers,
Subject: FW: June 9-10 Sky Cover

You're really only interested in the column under 9 June.

The code:
- Few = 1/8
- Sct = scattered 2/8 - 7/8
- Bkn = broken = ½ - 7/8
- Ovc = overcast = 1 (not seen below).

The final number is the overall assessment.

Add two zeros to the right of the number, to get height in 1000s of feet. Hence you see 3,000 ft, 9,000 ft, and 22,000 ft below. These are required reporting layers and what is preserved in the reporting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Few</th>
<th>Sct</th>
<th>Bkn</th>
<th>Ovc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2100L</td>
<td>FEW030 BKN090 BKN220</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2200L</td>
<td>FEW030 BKN090 BKN220</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2300L</td>
<td>FEW030 BKN090 BKN220</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0000L</td>
<td>FEW030 SCT090 BKN220</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0100L</td>
<td>FEW030 SCT090 BKN220</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0200L</td>
<td>FEW030 BKN090 BKN220</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Few</th>
<th>Sct</th>
<th>Bkn</th>
<th>Ovc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2100L</td>
<td>FEW030 SCT090 BKN220</td>
<td>6/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2200L</td>
<td>FEW030 SCT090 BKN220</td>
<td>6/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2300L</td>
<td>FEW030 SCT090 BKN220</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0000L</td>
<td>FEW030 SCT090 BKN220</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0100L</td>
<td>FEW030 SCT090 BKN220</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0200L</td>
<td>FEW030 SCT090 BKN220</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V/R
Summary of Interview

On 15 August 2006, I spoke with [Professor of Pathology at The University of Indiana] as an expert in the field of strangulation and asphyxiation deaths. §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C) is performed consultation work for the Navy and for the Department of Defense. With regard to self-inflicted strangulation, offered the following:

1) In the “typical jail house hanging” (self-inflicted strangulations) the individual is unconscious within 2 minutes and dead within 4 minutes.

2) The 2 minute/4 minute time frame is applicable even if the individual strangled himself or herself lying down using a ligature wrapped around the neck.

3) Most self-inflicted strangulations are not full-suspension hangings; that is to say that there is some contact between the floor or another object and the body.

4) Autopsies are generally unhelpful in determining how long it took any particular person to die from strangulation.

5) Foreign airway obstructions in the throat may cause a person to die more quickly than without foreign matter in the throat.

(b)(3):10 USC §130b.(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Death by Strangulation by Dr. Dean Hawley

Autopsy examination in cases of fatal strangulation is a procedure that has probably not changed very much in the last few decades. In fact, perhaps the best medical scientific paper ever written about examination of strangulation victims was published by Gonzales in 1933, relying on European references from the 19th century.[1] The process of strangulation, whether by hand (manual), or by ligature, results in blunt force injury of the tissues of the neck. The pattern of these injuries allows us to recognize strangulation as a mechanism, and to distinguish strangulation from other blunt injuries including hanging, traumatic blows to the neck, and artifacts of decomposition. [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]

It is no coincidence that the best medical evidence of strangulation is derived from post mortem examination (autopsy) of the body, but even in living survivors of strangulation assaults it may be possible to recognize a pattern of injury distinctive for strangulation. At autopsy we can exam all of the tissues of the neck, superficial and deep, and track the force vector that produced the injuries. In living people, we are left with superficial examination of the skin, and two-dimensional shadows by radiography.[9, 10] Often times, even in fatal cases, there is no external evidence of injury. While patterned abrasions and contusions of the skin of the anterior neck are typical of strangulations cases, some cases have no externally evident injury whatsoever. The injuries that may occur include patterned contusions and abrasions caused by fingernails, finger touch pads, ligatures, or clothing. These injuries are then prone to change over time, with the healing process. Injuries not at all apparent on the day of death may actually become visible by the next day, as the skin begins to dry and become more transparent.

Much medical research has been published on the findings of strangulation, owing to a no-longer promoted practice by police agencies wherein “choke holds” were trained and practiced as a way for officers to subdue suspects.[11] The summary experience with choking for control of suspects -- also called the “carotid restraint hold”, “shime waza”, or “the sleeper hold” -- is that death can ensue without the intent of the officer, and without leaving external marks on the body.

In addition to the blunt force injuries of the neck, strangulation produces evidence of asphyxiation, recognized as pinpoint hemorrhages (petechiae) in the skin, conjunctiva of the eyes, and deep internal organs.[12, 13] Petechiae are non-specific findings, that can develop from any cause of asphyxia including strangulation, hanging, drowning, sudden infant death syndrome, aspiration of
gastric contents, profound depressant drug intoxication, and some natural diseases. The presence of petechiae does not prove strangulation, and the absence of petechiae does not disprove strangulation. In addition to petechiae, one may also (rarely) find interstitial free air in the lung or mediastinum.

Fingernail marks are superficially incised curvilinear abrasions, occurring singly or in sets. In rare cases, all four fingers will mark the skin in a single pattern. Finger impingement contusions are caused by the assailant’s grasp. The thumb generates more pressure than the other fingers, so singular thumb impression contusions are found more often than contusions showing the complete hand grasp. Ligature abrasions follow a predictable pattern of horizontal circumscript about the neck, distinguishable from the marks left by suicidal hanging, where a suspension point causes the ligature furrow to rise toward one ear.

The common scenario for homicidal strangulation is that the individual is found dead, often reported by the assailant, with a vague history of substance abuse or depression. There being no externally-evident injury, the body is taken for autopsy with a suspicion of drug overdose, and the injury of strangulation is not found until the neck dissection is carried out at autopsy, ordinarily at the end of the case. Therefore, photographs and trace evidence collections are not made.

The scene investigation may be useful in identifying strangulation assaults, based on blood spatter and ligatures. Rarely, the latent fingerprints of the assailant may be recovered from the skin of the victim’s neck. Of research interest, it may be possible to actually recover the assailant’s skin cells from the victim’s injured neck, and DNA-type the recovered cells to the suspect.

Ultimately, a medical opinion of strangulation as the mechanism of neck injury will be based on a complete examination of the patient’s neck, either at autopsy or by radiography, to detect superficial and deep injuries fitting a pattern that supports the diagnosis. A common cited injury is fracture of the hyoid bone, actually only found in a minority (no more than one third) of all fatal strangulations. One must keep in mind that the seriousness of the internal injury may take a few hours to be appreciated, and delayed death has been reported.

Autopsy examination of the neck includes complete dissection with removal of the larynx including the hyoid bone, and preferably with the tongue attached. The superficial and deep musculature must be individually examined for contusion.
hemorrhage. The laryngeal skeleton is then exposed to examine for fracture. Finally, the cervical spine is opened and examined for injury.

There is considerable folklore about the neck injury in judicial hanging, including the notion that radical displaced fractures occur. So, common misconception allows that there will be fractures or some sort of internal neck injury in people who hang themselves. In fact, in suicidal hanging there is scarcely ever any internal evidence of neck injury at all. Suicidal hanging is usually affected with very little force. It is painless, and can be accomplished even when lying down in bed. External injury including the dramatic "rope burns" or ligature abrasion only occurs after the body has been suspended for several hours after death. If the ligature is released at the moment of death, there will be no mark in the skin. Leave the body hang suspended by the ligature for a few hours, and a very dramatic furrow and ligature abrasion will develop post-mortem.

Immediate death from hanging or strangulation can progress from one of four mechanisms:

1. cardiac arrhythmia may be provoked by pressure on the carotid artery nerve ganglion (carotid body reflex) causing cardiac arrest
2. pressure obstruction of the carotid arteries prevents blood flow to the brain
3. pressure on the jugular veins prevents venous blood return from the brain, gradually backing up blood in the brain resulting in unconsciousness, depressed respiration, and asphyxia
4. pressure obstruction of the larynx cuts off air flow to the lungs, producing asphyxia

Item number 1 must be very uncommon. The reflex cardiac arrhythmia can be reproducibly demonstrated in humans, but force must be applied over a very localized and specific anatomic area. Item number 2 must also be very uncommon in suicidal hangings, but may be more frequent in homicidal strangulations. Quite a bit of pressure is required to obstruct arterial flow in the carotids, and that amount of force would typically be associated with obvious soft tissue injury locally in the neck. Item number 3 is probably the usual route for death by suicidal hanging. Slight pressure fully or at least partially obstructs venous return in the jugular veins, gradually causing passive congestion of blood in the vessels within the brain. This diminishes oxygen delivery to the brain, eventually resulting in loss of consciousness. The type of pressure required is slight, but prolonged. Unconsciousness probably doesn't occur for several minutes, but the overall process is completely painless. In the practice of autoerotic sexual asphyxia -- a male but not female behavior of intentional ligature hanging -- the asphyxia is alleged to be associated with sexual arousal.[30] In cases of suicidal hanging, eventually the individual becomes unconscious, then Item 4 takes...
over. With the person unconscious, the full weight of the suspended part of the body falls against the ligature, creating enough pressure to restrict air flow through the trachea. Then, irreversible asphyxiation follows in just a few minutes. In strangulation cases, and some suicidal hangings where the individual is “saved” before death, there may be a prolonged period of survival with obvious brain damage, followed by death. This delay is the effect of loss of blood flow to the brain, with partial asphyxiation of the brain. A decrease in blood flow to the brain will produce a pathologic change called anoxic encephalopathy. Brain cells are not all equally sensitive to loss of blood flow. Some cells die soon, while others survive for days and eventually succumb to the delayed effect of oxygen deprivation.

Nerve cell death may be patchy in the brain. Certain localized parts of the brain are more susceptible to anoxia, and other areas are more resistant to anoxia. Fatal anoxic encephalopathy results in clinical “brain death” where the body functions of the heart and internal organs can be maintained by medical life support, but all hope of meaningful recovery is lost. Complications may include persistent vegetative coma, cerebral edema (brain swelling), and herniation of the brain. For patients who do recover consciousness, lifelong brain damage may be observed. The damaged nerve cells have been shown to express a gene product, c-fos, which may be found within anoxically-damaged nerve cells.[31]

Quantitation of the actual forces applied to the neck is not a meaningful argument. The amount of force required to compress the jugular vein is less than the force to compress the carotid, and that in turn is less than the force required to constrict the airway. However, absolute values -- measured as foot-pounds of force -- must vary tremendously from one person to the next depending on development of neck musculature, and the surface area for the application of force. If the force were applied over a very narrow surface area -- a clothesline ligature as opposed to a broad belt for example -- then much less force would be necessary. Four variables are working simultaneously:

1. The amount of force required to compress the vein
2. The size of the surface area for the application of force
3. The development of neck musculature
4. The size of the person

These factors interact to determine the likelihood of survival or death in a strangulation case.
For the same amount of pressure, if you decrease the surface area, or increase the
duration of the force, you increase the likelihood that the force will be fatal. Further,
if even a small force is applied in just the right anatomic area, the force may obviate
the normal anatomic protections of the neck musculature and skeleton. A small
woman can easily strangle a large man. The U.S. Army trains “close-range
combatives” to use strangulation as a mechanism of lethal force.\[32\]

Medical resuscitation, and organ procurement procedures, work against the
pathologist’s ability to detect fatal homicidal neck injury.\[12\] An
oxygen mask can leave abrasions on the mouth and nasal bridge.
During resuscitation, an airway tube is placed into the mouth or
nose, and inserted into the esophagus or trachea, to establish a path
through which air can be forced under pressure to the lungs. The
usual airway device is an oral endotracheal tube, but many
varieties of hardware exist. The skill of the rescue staff, and the
size and rigidity of the victim, dictate how much injury occurs during this intubation
procedure. Traumatic intubations result in internal injuries of the deep musculature of
the larynx, often completely mimicking the injuries of strangulation. Ulceration of the
larynx may develop from pressure produced by the inflatable cuff on the tube. The
mechanical ventilation can produce barotrauma in the lungs, with air dissecting up to
the skin of the neck. In cases where the rescue staff is unable to intubate the patient,
they might attempt a surgical cricothyroidotomy or tracheostomy procedure to
establish an airway. This would completely obliterate all signs of manual
strangulation. Further, intravenous needles are sometimes placed into the jugular
veins, leaving tracks of hemorrhage that can obscure physical injuries. If resuscitation
is successful, the patient may linger on mechanical ventilation for hours or days,
resulting in healing of soft tissue injuries in the neck that would have been
recognizable if examined earlier. Toxicology is meaningless in patients who survive a
few days in the hospital, so disproving a defense theory that the asphyxial death was caused by overdose of prescribed or abused drugs becomes impossible.

In some communities, organ procurement procedures are routinely performed, regardless of the circumstances of death. A dissection for heart donation can totally obliterate all evidence of injury by manual strangulation. Donation of corneas will obscure observation of petechia in the eyes. The prosecutor is then dependent on the organ procurement team to recognize subtle injuries before they are obscured by the procedure. Few organ procurement technicians or physicians will have any experience whatsoever testifying in murder trials. In the autopsy investigation of strangulation in domestic assault cases, every injury on the body becomes significant. Contusions of the chest wall, abdomen, and extremities become valuable evidence to establish a pattern of abuse. Like child abuse cases, the autopsy strives to illuminate a big picture, not just focus singularly on the neck examination. Each and every bruise and scrape is important. These peripheral injuries can be jeopardized by organ and tissue donation procedures.[33, 34, 35]
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TABLE – (U) Naval Criminal Investigative Service exhibits

1. (U) NCIS Review of Camp Delta SOP/JTF-GTMO, 22 June 2006 [NCIS exhibit 120]

2. (U) NCIS Information regarding victims' time of death [NCIS exhibit 66]

3. (U) NCIS Photographs of Alpha Block Entrance and Alpha Block Tier [NCIS Exhibit 115, Enclosures A5, B3]

4. (U) NCIS Photographs of Cell A5 [NCIS Exhibit 115, Enclosures C10, C13, C17, C18, C19]

5. (U) NCIS Photographs of Cell A8 [NCIS Exhibit 115, Enclosures D24, D25, D32, D38, D42, D45]

REVIEW OF STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES FOR CAMP DELTA, JTF-GTHO

1. (U) Reporting Agent (RA) reviewed the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) Manual for Camp Delta, Joint Detention Group (JDG), Joint Task Force, Guantanamo Bay Cuba (JTF-GTHO), for sections that are potentially relevant to the three detainee deaths which occurred in the Alpha Block of Camp 1 at Camp Delta, JTF-GTHO during the early morning hours of 10JUN06. The most recent fully revised version of the SOP manual was published in DECOM by order of the Commander Maj. Gen. Gary M. Hogg, Brigadier General, United States Army, Commander, JTF-GTHO, and National Sergeant Major, Military Police Command, USCG. The SOP lays out policy, procedures, and responsibilities for the security, administration and treatment of detainees in custody at Camp Delta. Enclosure (A) is a complete copy of the SOP. In addition to the DECOM SOP manual, RA also reviewed guard mount messages written subsequent to the publication of the SOP manual for Camp Delta. Guard mount messages supersede the SOP manual and may present changes to the SOP, provide clarification of certain SOPs, or modify an existing practice due to changing circumstances. Guard messages are put out on an almost daily basis, and are disseminated via the Detained Information System (DIS) and briefed to guards at the beginning of each shift. Enclosure (B) contains guard mount messages distributed from 090006 to 12JUN06.

2. (U) In order to investigate the deaths of the three detainees, it is necessary to determine what SOPs were in place on 09JUN06 and 10JUN06, and then to determine the manner in which the SOPs were followed prior to and on these dates. The procedures in place related to matters such as guard presence on the tiers, tier lighting, material hanging in detainee cells, habeas mail search policies, and other matters relevant to the investigation are addressed herein.

3. (U) The Detained Information Management System (DISMS), supra, is used to annotate "everything related to a Detainee", and is detailed in Chapter 10, DOCUMENTATION AND DETAINEE INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM, of the SOP manual. According to Section 10-1(a), items to be recorded in DISMS are: "Meal refusals, conversations, behavioral problems, leadership, prayer leadership, teaching, preaching, rule breaking, coordination with other detainees, movements, requests, everything." The DISMS system also contains various documents for guards to fill out, such as The Daily Block NCO Checklist and Significant Activity Sheets. The Significant Activity Sheet is used to track major activities in blocks, such as hostile acts by detainees, meal refusal, and self-harm incidents. Due to DISMS entry requirements, details of block activities on 09JUN06 as well as information related to the incidents of 10JUN06, should be recorded.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
4. (b) The presence of guards on the Tier of Alone block is addressed in the SOP, Chapter II, Section 8-5. Paragraph (c) on page 21 and Section states: (b)(2)

5. (b) The procedure for headcounts and visual searches of the cells at night is addressed in Section 8-6.HEADCOUNTS, paragraphs (c) and (f) on page 20 and in Section 8-8.SEARCHES, paragraph (g), page 22. Section 8-8 states: (b)(2)

6. (b) Section 8-8 states: (b)(2)

7. (b) The SOP for the search of hypotheses wall is contained in Section 8-8 (b), page 29, which states: (b)(2)

8. (b) In order for detainees to have uninterrupted sleep at night, Section 8-14.SLEEP AND EXERCISE, paragraph (g) states: (b)(2)

9. (b) The investigation into the detainee deaths to date, has raised concerns that detainees are communicating by passing mail and other papers to each other. Section 17-10.7STAINNG PASSING MAIL BETWEEN

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

WARNING
16. Chapter 34 of the GOM manual details the Emergency Action Plan (EAP). For the Camp, Section 36, AUTHORIZATION TO AVOID, the EAP is in the early morning hours of Tuesday.

II. (c) Chapter 35, GUIDELINES FOR PREVENTION, also contains a detailed list of self-harm incidents and procedures that are relevant to the investigation. It includes the material that may be gathered during the investigation, and the delivery of legal and medical documentation relevant to the incident.

12. (ii) Appendix A of the GOM lays out the nature of discipline within the Camp, and addresses the matter of legal counseling in the cells of detainees. Appendix B lists the conduct of legal and the counsel that may be used, and the punishment for violation. 

Sections 36-8 through 36-10 contain additional information regarding counseling and processing legal mail sent to detainees.
activities on and leading up to the events of 16JUN06, have been entered into DMS system subsequent to the DEC06 publication of the SOP.

14. (U) On 07JUN06, the following Guard Message entitled "DETAINERS COVERING HEAD WITH SHEET" was released. The message states:

15. (U) On 27JUL06, 1118L, the following Guard Message entitled "DETAINEE SELF HARM NOTIFICATION" was released. The message states:

16. (U) On 25MAY06, at 1627L, the following Guard Message entitled "UNCLASSIFIED SUMMARIES OF EVIDENCE & FASEBS MAIL" was released. Section of the message related to habeas mail states:

17. (U) On 26MAY06, at 1115L, the following Guard Message entitled "HIGH VIGILANCE DURING (b)(2) " was released. It indicated Command threat awareness was heightened, but that the focus was protecting guards from detainees versus protecting detainees for themselves. The 26MAY06 message states:
18. (U) On 22JUN06, the following Guard Message entitled "DETAINERS COVERING HEAD WITH SWEAT" was released. The message

19. (U) On 2/MAR06, 1118Z, the following Guard Message entitled "DETAINERS SELF HARM NOTIFICATION" was released. The

20. (U) On 24MAY06, at 1627Z, the following Guard Message entitled "UNCATEGORIZED SUMMARIES OF SYMMAKH & HABEAS HALL" was released. The

21. (U) On 28MAY06, at 1701Z, the following Guard Message entitled "HIGH VIGILANCE DURING [b](2)" was released. It indicates that

For Official Use Only
19. [U] A follow up CTWS message was disseminated on 10 MAY 06 at 1057L, which noted that [b](2)

ENCLOSURE(S):
(B) [U] Copy of Camp Delta, JDG, JTF-CTNO Guard Messages distributed from 27 NOV 06 to 12 JUN 06.

REPORTEd BY: [b](3):10 USC
OFFICE: NCISFO WASHINGTON DC
INVESTIGATIVE ACTION: INFORMATION REGARDING VICTIMS' TIME OF DEATH

1. On 16JUN06, reporting agent (RA) contacted medical officials to determine the time of death of the three victims. Specifically, for each victim, RA determined the time at which the victim was pronounced dead. The three victims, all of whom reportedly committed suicide by hanging, were detainees at Camp Delta, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. Their names and Integerment Serial Numbers (ISN's) were as follows: VIAL ZAHRANI, YASSER TALAL/CIV, ISN 93; VIAL TABI, MANA SHAMAN ALLABAR/CIV, ISN 588; and VIALMED, ALI ABDULLAH H/CIV, ISN 693. Information on the time of death for each victim is provided below.

2. ISN 93: To determine the time of ISN 93's death, RA contacted Commanding Officer, Naval Hospital (NAVHOSP), Guantanamo Bay. RA met with Commanding Officer at his office at NAVHOSP. RA identified himself by name and display of credentials. RA asked Commanding Officer if he had a written record to confirm the date and time at which ISN 93 was pronounced dead. Commanding Officer escorted RA to the office of the medical records administrator for NAVHOSP. With the help of the medical records administrator, RA reviewed ISN 93's outpatient medical record. Review of this record revealed that ISN 93 was pronounced dead on 10JUN06 at 01:50. This pronouncement was made at NAVHOSP. The record reviewed at this time did not list the name of the doctor who made this pronouncement. For further information, RA advised she can be contacted at her office phone number.

3. ISN 588: To determine the time of ISN 588's death, RA met with Division Officer and Nurse, at the Detention (Det) Clinic, Camp Delta, Guantanamo Bay. RA identified himself by name and display of credentials. RA consulted his online medical records. Review of these records revealed that ISN 588 was pronounced dead on 10JUN06 at 01:15. This pronouncement was made by the Senior Medical Officer (SMO), at the Det Clinic. RA contacted SMO by phone, who confirmed this information.

4. ISN 693: To determine the time of ISN 693's death, RA again consulted the same officer. RA advised that ISN 693 was pronounced dead on 10JUN06 at 01:15. This is the same as the time of death of ISN 588. U.S. Army, made this pronouncement. LCDR online records listed the time as 01:16; however, this was corrected to 01:15 based upon telephonic contact with SMO. SMO advised that he and may be contacted at any time for further information. The phone number for the Det
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20 February 2006 (b)(2) had been taken out of his cell for a cell search due to refusing rec. As he was being escorted by two block guards (b) stopped in front of his cell not wanting to walk down the three cells. (b) was instructed to move forward, he refused three times. The guards keeping him on him, moved the detainee to desired location. (b) resisted movement, guards maintained control of detainee. 2823 & 508 was submitted. 508 was downgraded to a verbal but is still there if another incident arises w/ this detainee. Detainee was not moved.

27 February 2006 508s were generated in large quantities today on [ ] Block.

27 February 2006 Finding a lot of contraband on all of the blocks. Ensure thorough searches all being conducted. Completed 9 508[s] all together.

25 March 2006 Days. Camp Report: Welcome to Camp 1, a compliant camp. We currently have _____ detainees, _____ guards. *Self-harm *Hunger striker *Any significant event.

26 March 2006 Nights. Welcome to Camp 1. This is a compliant camp. We currently have (b)(2)detainees (b)guards. 0 Self harms 3 Hunger strikes 693 (b)(2) [no significant events]

27 March 2006 Nights. (b)(2) stated that something is going to happen bigger than the hunger strike.

27 March 2006 Nights. Bread is being wrapped up in plastic [i.e., plastic] wrap? Are they suppose[d] to have it?

30 March 2006 Nights. 2823 & 508s, need to let the chain of command know the details prior to DOC. At night, same rules apply.

2 April 2006. Spoke to meal prep supervisor (ION). Bread will be in wax paper vice Saran Wrap. He placed the request yesterday.

4 April 2006 Nights. (b) has letter for (b)(3):

7 April 2006 Day (b)(2),(b)(3) Block new detainee (b)(2) let him on the block for about 1 hour then call FNS and let her know.
8 April 2006 Day. All PLs make sure we call the LT if we are having any major problems, i.e. camp in an uproar, IRFs, ASAP call cell if you cannot get him at home!

9 April 2006 Nights. All blocks request to keep shutters open at night.

17 April Day. (b)(2) tied a noose out of his sheet, threatened to hang himself. Sheets taken away.

18 April Nights. Opened all shutters @ 2250.

19 April Nights. Left shutters open.

27 April 2006. An alarming number of Sanka instant coffee packs are being found in detainees cells. These are only offered in our galley. Ensure troops know not to give these items.

28 April 2006. CO's call (Troopers Chapel)
   01 May -- 1830 -- 0630 E-1 to E-3
   02 May -- 1830 -- 0630 E-4 & E-5
   03 May -- 1830 E-6
   04 May -- 1830 E-6
   05 May -- 0630 E-7 to E-9


29 April 2006 Days. Breakfast will be served without deviation, as per AOIC (ENS). Cereal bowl/hot coffee included.

3 May 2006 Days. As per AOIC (b)(3), detainees are allowed to hang towel/blanket/prayer rug in cell as long as the cell door is kept unobstructed for full view of cell.

3 May 2006 Nights. G/M Message 03 Mar '06 required (b)(2) (b)(2)

4 May 2006 Nights. Sanitized & op test A Block, all sat with the exception of (no sink faucet)

4 May 2006 Nights. A Block was prepared for full operation/verified by PL.

[A single page is torn from book, but the sentence carries across the gap correctly from bottom to top, so presumably no information was lost.]

5 May 2006 Nights. [The shift to Alpha Block not recorded in the PL log; here is first mention of an Alpha Block cell] (h)(h) possession of contraband.
6 May 2006 Days. Altered beads will be noted on DIMS (SigAct) and return[ed] to detainee.

7 May 2006 Days. (b)(2) "felt sad" because other brothers are going home. BHS notified and responded.

9 May 2006 Days. Shutter[s] were opened @ 2300 per authorization of JDG.

9 May 2006 Nights. (b)(2) moved to A-8 due to inop toilet.

10 May 2006 Days. (b)(2) is going to A Block. Make sure we are doing Op Sec. This guy will find out who you are. A12 is the cell that he is going to.

10 May 2006 Days. (b)(2) said that he is going to kill himself if he does not move.

11 May 2006 Days. Shutter[s] will remain open until further notice.

12 May 2006 Days. Sewing kits given to A. (b)(2) Following cells were designated as sewing cell: (b)(2)

13 May 2006 Nights. (b)(2) attempted pass out. Medical evaluated & cleared.

15 May 2006 Nights. (b)(2) fell out of his bunk while sleeping, medical arrived. (b)(2) refused any treatment.


18 May 2006 Nights. (b)(2) seizures
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22 May 2006 [Day]. Any items used by the detainees to cover their heads and refuse to remove will be confiscated immediately. When writing the 508 recommend 72 hour sheet loss.


24 May 2006 [Day] A5 (693) needs to be watched closely. He is gaining a lot of weight, so they believe he is sneaking food.

24 May 2006 [Day] Covering Heads:
1st offense: Sig Act/2823/508 -- Automatically place on 72 hr sheet loss. Don't wait for DOC approval.
2nd offense: Sig Act/2823/508 -- refer to Discipline Block

26 May 2006 Days. Put food of det that refuse in cell via bottom b/h.

26 May 2006 Days. Significant press for violent activity last week. Detainees found that they get more attention for violent acts than hunger strikes. More shanks have been found.

3 June 2006 [Day] [b][2] assaulted guard w/ clamshell, moved to [b] block.

3 June 2006 Nights. [b][2]

8 June 2006 [Day] [b][2] Keep eye on, just out fr MHU

9 June 2006 [Day] Guard Mount Messages: compliant detainees will be given 2 opportunities to comply with guard instructions. The block guard will ask the detainee to comply and [if] the detainee refuses the block NCO will ask the detainee to comply. If the detainee does not comply at that point, the PL will call away the FCE team to respond to the block if necessary to gain compliance.

11 June 2006 Nights. [b][2] were singing the same song as they were the night the 3 brothers died. Placed in suicide suits.
1. (U) Camp Delta map
2. (U) Alpha Block diagram
3. (U) Meal Plan for 9 June 2006
4. (U) Camp 1 watch bill, 9 June 2006
6. (U) Detainee Information Management System (DIMS) Head Count Report, 09JUN2006 2004L (Complete)
7. (U) Detainee Information Management System (DIMS) Head Count Report, 09JUN2006 2335L (Complete)
   a. (U) Transcription of Log Excerpts
   b. (U) Photocopies of Original Log Pages
   a. (U) Transcription of Log Excerpts
   b. (U) Photocopies of Original Log Pages
    a. (U) Transcription of Log Excerpts
    b. (U) Photocopies of Original Log Pages
### DETAINEE INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (DIMIS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Movement Orders</th>
<th>Detainees</th>
<th>Requests</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Administration</th>
<th>Tools</th>
<th>BOP</th>
<th>Help</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

GTMO > Camp Delta > Camp 1 > A Block

---

**A Block Headcount in Progress (14AUG2006 0800L)**

- **ASSIGNED:** 0
- **OUT:** 0
- **PRESENT:** 0

**Remarks:**

---

**[b](2)**
A Block Blotter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Movement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2100L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1907L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1859L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1857L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1855L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1862L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1850L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1849L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1843L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1838L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1830L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1829L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1828L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1828L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1825L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1823L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1822L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1821L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1819L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1816L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1814L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1813L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>Movement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130b,(b)(6)
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130b, (b)(6)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>08:00</td>
<td>ISN#000892, Finished Move from Patient Admin to Cell A5</td>
<td>(b)(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>08:30</td>
<td>ISN#000993, Finished Move from Patient Admin to Cell A8</td>
<td>(b)(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>08:10</td>
<td>08- Medical Emergency</td>
<td>At approximately 0048, 08 Jun 2006, while he was walking the tier, he was not able to see ISN#000993. ISN#000892 began calling ISN#000993 by his ISN number and hanged the bean hole with no response, at which time 11 b) called away on the call. Upon entering Cell A5, 11 b) observed ISN#000993 hanging in the cell with a tightly twisted sheet and appeared to be unconscious. 11 b) grabbed ISN#000993 around the waist and arms supporting his weight, MA2 (Escorts) cut ISN#000993 down. 11 b) placed ISN#000993 down on the deck, handcuffed and shackled. ISN#000993 was placed on the back board and secured, at which time he was transported to Det Clinic. SOG and PL took over the scene. DOC was notified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>08:30</td>
<td>08- Medical Emergency</td>
<td>At approximately 0048, 08 Jun 2006, while he was walking the tier, he was not able to see ISN#000993. ISN#000892 began calling ISN#000993 by his ISN number and hanged the bean hole with no response, at which time 11 b) called away on the call. Upon entering Cell A5, 11 b) observed ISN#000993 hanging in the cell with a tightly twisted sheet and appeared to be unconscious. 11 b) grabbed ISN#000993 around the waist and arms supporting his weight, MA2 (Escorts) cut ISN#000993 down. 11 b) placed ISN#000993 down on the deck, handcuffed and shackled. ISN#000993 was placed on the back board and secured, at which time he was transported to Det Clinic. SOG and PL took over the scene. DOC was notified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>08:30</td>
<td>08- Medical Emergency</td>
<td>At approximately 0048, 08 Jun 2006, while he was walking the tier, he was not able to see ISN#000993. ISN#000892 began calling ISN#000993 by his ISN number and hanged the bean hole with no response, at which time 11 b) called away on the call. Upon entering Cell A5, 11 b) observed ISN#000993 hanging in the cell with a tightly twisted sheet and appeared to be unconscious. 11 b) grabbed ISN#000993 around the waist and arms supporting his weight, MA2 (Escorts) cut ISN#000993 down. 11 b) placed ISN#000993 down on the deck, handcuffed and shackled. ISN#000993 was placed on the back board and secured, at which time he was transported to Det Clinic. SOG and PL took over the scene. DOC was notified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUN 2006</td>
<td>08:30</td>
<td>08- Medical Emergency</td>
<td>At approximately 0048, 08 Jun 2006, while he was walking the tier, he was not able to see ISN#000993. ISN#000892 began calling ISN#000993 by his ISN number and hanged the bean hole with no response, at which time 11 b) called away on the call. Upon entering Cell A5, 11 b) observed ISN#000993 hanging in the cell with a tightly twisted sheet and appeared to be unconscious. 11 b) grabbed ISN#000993 around the waist and arms supporting his weight, MA2 (Escorts) cut ISN#000993 down. 11 b) placed ISN#000993 down on the deck, handcuffed and shackled. ISN#000993 was placed on the back board and secured, at which time he was transported to Det Clinic. SOG and PL took over the scene. DOC was notified.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b)(2) B OFF BLOCK.
(b)(2), (b)(3): 10 USC §130b, (b)(6)
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130b,(b)(6)
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130(b)(6)

(b)(2)
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130b,(b)(6)
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130b, (b)(6)
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130b, (b)(6)
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC §130b,(b)(6)
MEMORANDUM FOR Commander, U.S. Southern Command, 3511 NW 91st Avenue, Miami Florida 33172

SUBJECT: Request for NCIS Investigation into Circumstances Surrounding the Detainee Suicides on 9/10 June 2006

1. In a telephone conference call conducted on 21 June 2006, between representatives of JTF-GTMO (h/2)-10, USSOUTHCOM (h/2)-10 (USC) DoD Office of General Counsel (h/2)-10, the Acting Navy General Counsel (h/2)-10, the Director of NCIS (h/3)-10, the Deputy Navy JAG (h/3)-10, and representatives of the Department of Justice (h/2)-10, NCIS indicated that their suicide investigation was largely complete. They indicated that in keeping with their traditional investigative mandate, they had concluded that the three deaths were suicides as a result of hanging undertaken solely by the victims themselves.

2. [h/2]-10 Acting General Counsel of the Navy, and [h/3]-10 Director of NCIS, stated that if requested by Commander, JTF-GTMO through Commander, USSOUTHCOM, they are willing for NCIS to investigate whether the suicides were in furtherance of a larger plot or had been encouraged or ordered by other detainees and whether there is any evidence of other suicide plans.

3. Request that NCIS be formally asked to include within their investigation: (1) whether the suicides on 9/10 June were related to a plot by detainees to commit suicide, or were otherwise encouraged, ordered, or assisted by other detainees or third persons; and, (2) whether there exists any evidence of past, ongoing or future plots for detainees to commit suicide.

4. My point of contact for this matter is my Commander (h/2)-10. He may be contacted at [h/3]-10.

[Signature]

HARRY B. HARRIS, JR.
REAR ADMIRAL, U.S. NAVY
Commanding