UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Summarized Administrative Review Board Proceedings

The Administrative Review Board was called to order.

The Designated Military Officer was sworn.

The Board Reporter was sworn.

The Presiding Officer announced the convening authority and purpose of the ARB proceedings.

The Administrative Review Board members were sworn.

The Assisting Military Officer was sworn.

The Assisting Military Officer presented the Enemy Combatant Notification Form (Exhibit EC-A) to the ARB.

The Assisting Military Officer presented the Enemy Combatant Election Form (Exhibit EC-B) to the ARB:

It was noted by the Presiding Officer that from Exhibit EC-B, the Detainee had chosen not to be present for the ARB proceedings.

The PO confirmed that the Assisting Military Officer had met with the Detainee and informed him of his rights regarding to the proceedings, that the Detainee appeared to understand the process, that the Unclassified Summary of the Information was read to the Detainee, that a translator was used during the interview, and that the Assisting Military Officer confirmed that the translator spoke the same language as the Detainee.

The Designated Military Officer presented the Unclassified Summary of Information (Exhibit DMO-1) to the Administrative Review Board.

The Designated Military Officer presented the FBI Reduction Certification (Exhibit DMO-2) to the Administrative Review Board.

The Designated Military Officer gave a brief description of the contents of Exhibit DMO-1.

The Designated Military Officer confirmed that he had no further unclassified information and requested a closed session to present classified information relevant to the disposition of the Detainee.

ISN# 288 Enclosure (6) Page 1 of 3

UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

000587

UNCLASSIFIED#FOUO

When asked if the Assisting Military Officer had any information to present on behalf of the Detainee to the Administrative Review Board, the Assisting Military Officer submitted Exhibit EC-C to the Administrative Review Board. The Detainee requested that the Exhibit be read to the board exactly as he wrote it. Exhibit EC-C was read to Administrative Review Board exactly as written. The Administrative Review Board Members then asked questions to the Assisting Military Officer.

Ouestions to the Assisting Military Officer

Presiding Officer: Okay. Do any of the Board Members have any questions in regards to the written statement that we have here, on what the Assisting Military Officer commented on? Take a minute to read what we have here. If you are putting them side by side with what the Unclassified Summary actually says, cause I was trying to follow at the same time. For 3-Charlie, Intent, number 2, he says here "My using a passport to travel, I don't think this is enough reason." Did you clarify that, I know it says there that he used a "fraudulent passport," that is what it says? The Detainee purchased a fraudulent Belgian passport, so does he realize that it wasn't just a normal passport?

Assisting Military Officer: Yes sir.

Presiding Officer: He just left that word "Fraudulent" out.

Assisting Military Officer: Yes, sir. I explained to him that it wasn't just that you had a passport, it's that you had a fraudulent passport. He said 'yes.' Under Accusation 3.b., 2nd paragraph in his written statement, Number 1 under Connections/Associations, he said he bought the ticket with his own money. I told him that if you read the English version of the Unclassified Summary of Evidence that we were not implying that someone else purchased it for him. If you read that, I could interpret that he used his own money, so I told him that I didn't think that there was an issue there. He wanted to make that statement that he used his own money.

Presiding Officer: And he provided an al Qaida facilitator with a Belgian passport and 6,000 Francs; sounds to me like he gave the facilitator the money and the passport.

Assisting Military Officer: Yes, sir. I made that clear to him that we were not accusing him of using someone else's money, but still he wanted to. I asked him to expand on Kritay, France. He stated that he used to go to that city in France, Kritay, France, for work. To find work, he was there to work often.

Presiding Officer: Okay. Did he seem to understand English?

Assisting Military Officer: He said he knew a little English, but he didn't speak any English at all.

ISN# 288 Enclosure (6) Page 2 of 3

UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

000588

UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

The Presiding Officer confirmed that the Designated Military Officer had no further unclassified information to present to the Administrative Review Board and concluded the unclassified session of the Administrative Review Board.

The Presiding Officer read the remainder of the Administrative Review Board process and adjourned the proceedings.

AUTHENTICATION

I certify the material contained in this transcript is a true and accurate summary of the testimony given during the proceedings.

Colonel, U.S. Marine Corps Presiding Officer

> ISN# 288 Enclosure (6) Page 3 of 3

UNCLASSIFIED

28 Mar 05

From: Sayab, Mutij Sadiz Ahmad (ISN 288)

To: Presiding Officer

Via: Assisting Military Officer

Subject: Translation of Detainee's Written Statement Submitted by Sayab, Mutij Sadiz Ahmad (ISN 288) on 28 Mar 05 by OARDEC Linguist

"Replay on the Summary of Evidence in the Case of Sayab Mutee Sadic Ahmed"

Accusation 3.a. "Training"

- This accusation is not correct and I have not received any training on the arms and I have never in my life heard of AK-47 rifle.

Accusation 3.b. "Connections/Associations"

- 1. Accusation is not correct and the conditions that when I bought the ticket to Pakistan were from my own money.
- 2. This accusation has no basis of the truth and I did not accompany anybody throughout my traveling and to the country of "Kritay, France" and I use to go frequently there for the reason of work only.
- 3. This accusation has no basis of the truth that "I am related or possibly a member of al Qaida" in which that I have ever never heard about something is called al Qaida only after the attack.

Accusation 3.c. "Intent"

- 1. My accusation that "I traveled" what I know that there is no such a law in the entire world prevent traveling.
- 2. My using a passport to travel, I don't think this is enough reason to be considered as a terrorist and I pose a threat to America.
- 3. My traveling by this passport has nothing to make me a terrorist and pose a threat on America in which there are thousands of humans using illegal and incorrect papers and they were not considered or pose a threat. And the reason they used these papers due to the bad circumstances that is existed in their country.

Answering or Replaying the Accusation 3.d. "Other Relevant Data"

- 1. My departure to Pakistan is not an accusation but most people need to get out from a war zone situation.
- 2. If the accusation imply "if I knew of the attacks of 9/11/2001 in advance before it happened" then this is a false accusation. It has no basis of the truth or, if it imply if I heard of the attacks after it happened then this is not an accusation because of the fact that the entire world heard of the attack after the fact.

UNCLASSIFIED

Enclosure (4)
EC-C

Page 1 of 3

النهمة أ- لِمَدْرِيبِ: هذه لِنعمة ليست بعبيري ولم أثلق أي تذريب على الأسلحة ولم أثلق أي تذريب على الأسلحة ولم أسبح في حمياتي عن بندقية أك -٧٤

التعمة ب - إلعلاقات الإجتماعية:

ا- التعمة ليست بعلادة و الأموال التي الشيريت بوا التذكرة الل باكستاد هي أموالي الخاصة

> - هذه لِتُهُمَّ لِإِنْسَاسَ لِهَا مِن إَعْمَةٌ وَلَمَ أَكُنَ مِرافِقًا بِأَحْدَ أَثْنَادُ سَفِي وَ ولمِنْطَعَةٌ «كريتي» فِي فرنسا هي منطقة كنت أثرد عليها بسبب العمل فقط

٣ التحمة لا أساس لوا من العلى وهي أنني مرتبط أو معلى أن أكون من أعفهاء القاعدة حيين أنني لم أسمع عن شي يسمى لقاعدة لركار بعد للتحداث

٤- أنا لا أعرف أي شفه باسم ويضطا عرشعاني

التحمة جي - النبية ،

ا- اتوامي بالسفر الذي اتعرف اته لا لموجمد قانون في لدنيا عمنه من لسفه >- استخدامي لجواز سفر لا اظمنه سبب يدعو ارك اعتباري ارهادِ ويشكل خطرعال احتباري ارهادِ ويشكل خطرعال اتمريكا

٣- سفري بهذا الجواز ليس فيه ما يجهلني إهابي بشكل خالم على اكريا حيت بوجد الحوف البشريسة علون أوراف غير مهميمة ولم يعتبرهم المحد يشكلون خول الموف ليستعال عذه الأوراق يرجع دائما ذلى اظروف لسيئة التي في بلدا فهم خطرا ، واستعال هذه الأوراق يرجع دائما ذلى اظروف لسيئة التي في بلدا فهم

الردعلى تهية د-معلومان أخرى لوا حلة! ١- خروجي الحل باكستان ليس بتعمة بل بخب على كل أحد أن يخرج هن اثر فن فيوا حرب

٥- لنكانت المتعمة هذه تعنى أنني كنت أعرف بالأحداث ١١/٩/١١، قبل وقوعها فلاذه تعمة المراه كانت تعنى وقوعها فلاذه تعمة بالملة لا أساس للها من العلحة والما كالمن تعنى أنني سمعت بها بعد وقوعها فعدا ليس بارتهام حيث أن كل لهاس سعوا بالمرحدان بعد وقوعها .

ISN 288 EC-C Page 3 of 3 000592